arXiv:math/0509356v1 [math.RT] 15 Sep 2005

CHARACTER SHEAVES ON DISCONNECTED GROUPS, VIII

G. Lusztig

Introduction

Throughout this paper, G denotes a fixed, not necessarily connected, reductive algebraic group over an algebraically closed field $\mathbf{k}$. This paper is a part of a series [L9] which attempts to develop a theory of character sheaves on G.

In Section 36 we associate to any subset J of the set of simple reflections an algebra $\underline{\mathfrak{K}}^J$ over $\mathbf{Q}(v)$ (with v an indeterminate) defined using certain character sheaves on Z_{J,G^0}. When $J=\emptyset$ this is the standard Iwahori-Hecke algebra attached to $\mathbf{W}$. For general J we show that this algebra shares several basic features with an Iwahori-Hecke algebra with unequal parameters. This opens the possibility of studying Iwahori-Hecke algebras with unequal parameters in the framework of the theory of perverse sheaves.

In Section 37 we prove a Mackey type formula for character sheaves on $Z_{J,D}$ where $J\subset\mathbf{I}$ and D is a connected component of G. This is essentially an identity involving certain induction and restriction functors analogous to one in the representation theory of reductive groups over a finite field.

In Section 38 we study a duality operation for character sheaves on a connected component D of G generalizing the case $D=G^0$ considered in [L3, III, 15]. This duality operator is analogous to the known duality operator for representations of a reductive group over a finite field, see 38.12.

In Section 39 we prove a quasi-rationality property for representations of certain extensions of an (irreducible) Weyl group. This generalizes [L3, III, (12.9.3)] and is a step in the proof of a key property of character sheaves (see 39.8).

Errata to Part V.

In 27.1 replace the diagram $N_DP/U_P \xleftarrow{\underline{a}} V_1 \xrightarrow{a} V_2 \xrightarrow{a'} D$ by $N_DP/U_P \xleftarrow{\underline{a}} V_1 \xrightarrow{a'} V_2 \xrightarrow{a''} D$.

In the commutative diagram on p.372 replace $\hat{Z}_1 \xrightarrow{a} \hat{Z}_2$, $Z_1 \xrightarrow{b} Z_2$, $V_1 \xrightarrow{c} V_2 \xrightarrow{a'} D$ by $\hat{Z}_1 \xrightarrow{a'} \hat{Z}_2$, $Z_1 \xrightarrow{b'} Z_2$, $V_1 \xrightarrow{c'} V_2 \xrightarrow{a''} D$ respectively.

Errata to Part VI.

In 28.5 replace $t_0 : \mathrm{Ad}(d^{-1}\dot{w}^{-1})(t_0)tt_0^{-1}$ by $t_0 : t\mapsto \mathrm{Ad}(d^{-1}\dot{w}^{-1})(t_0)tt_0^{-1}$.

Supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

In 28.19(a) replace $\hat{Z}^{\mathcal{L}'}_{\emptyset,\epsilon_D(J),D^{-1}}$ by $\hat{Z}^{\mathcal{L}'}_{\epsilon_D(J),D^{-1}}$ (twice).

Errata to Part VII.

In 32.5 replace $> b_2$ by b_2.

In 32.15 replace C_u by C^u.

In 32.18(a) replace $T_{\epsilon'(a^{-1}_{r+r'}}$ by $T_{\epsilon'(a^{-1}_{r+r'})}$.

In 32.23 replace the first $=$ by $) =$.

After the statement of Corollary 32.23 insert: Take $D' = D^{-1}$ so that $\Delta = G^0$.

In the third line of Corollary 32.24 replace λ' by λ''.

In the fourth line of Corollary 32.24 replace λ'' by $\mathcal{L}''$.

In 32.26, line 13, replace tT_x by $\tilde{T}_x$.

In 35.6, line 8, after $h^{-1}_{i-1}h_i \in U^*n_iU^*$, add: $(i \in [1,r])$.

Contents

36. The algebra $\underline{\mathfrak{K}}^J$.
37. A Mackey type formula.
38. Duality.
39. Quasi-rationality.

36. The algebra $\underline{\mathfrak{K}}^J$

36.1. We fix a connected component D of G. We write ϵ instead of $\epsilon_D : \mathbf{W} \to \mathbf{W}$ (see 26.2). Occassionally we shall consider one (or two) other component(s), say D' (or D', D''); we write ϵ' instead of $\epsilon_{D'}$.

For $J \subset \mathbf{I}$ we identify $Z_{J,D}$ (see 26.2) with

$$\{(P, gU_P); P \in \mathcal{P}_J, gU_P \in D/U_P\}$$

by $(P, P', gU_P) \mapsto (P, gU_P)$. To any $(P, gU_P) \in Z_{J,D}$ we associate an element $w_{P,gU_P} \in \mathbf{W}$ by the following requirements. Let $z = \text{pos}(gPg^{-1}, P) \in {}^{\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W}^J$; then

(i) $w_{P,gU_P} = w_{P_1,gU_{P_1}}$ where $P_1 = (g^{-1}Pg \cap P)U_P \in \mathcal{P}_{J\cap\epsilon^{-1}(\text{Ad}(z)J)}$,

(ii) $w_{P,gU_P} = z$ if $\epsilon^{-1}(\text{Ad}(z)J) = J$.

Note that (i),(ii) define uniquely w_{P,gU_P} by induction on $|J|$: if $|J| = 0$ then w_{P,gU_P} is given by (ii); if $|J| \geq 1$ and $\epsilon^{-1}(\text{Ad}(z)J) = J$ then w_{P,gU_P} is again given by (ii); if $|J| \geq 1$ and $\epsilon^{-1}(\text{Ad}(z)J) \neq J$ then $|J \cap \epsilon^{-1}(\text{Ad}(z)J)| < |J|$ and w_{P,gU_P} is determined by (i) since $w_{P_1,gU_{P_1}}$ is known from the induction hypothesis.

From definitions we see that the map $Z_{J,D} \to \mathbf{W}$, $(P, gU_P) \mapsto w_{P,gU_P}$ is the composition of $\beta' : Z_{J,D} \to \mathcal{T}(J, \epsilon)$ in 26.2 (see also [L10, 3.11]) with the bijection $\mathcal{T}(J, \epsilon) \to {}^{\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W}$ given by [L10, 2.4, 2.5] and with the inclusion ${}^{\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W} \to \mathbf{W}$. In particular, we have $w_{P,gU_P} \in {}^{\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W}$ and $\text{pos}(gPg^{-1}, P) = \min(\mathbf{W}_{\epsilon(J)}w_{P,gU_P}\mathbf{W}_J)$ for any $(P, gU_P) \in Z_{J,D}$. (For any $\mathbf{W}_{\epsilon(J)}, \mathbf{W}_J$ double coset Ω in $\mathbf{W}$ we denote by $\min(\Omega)$ the unique element of minimal length in Ω.)

For any $J \subset \mathbf{I}$, $w \in {}^{\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W}$, we set

$$ {}^{w}Z_{J,D} = \{(P, gU_P) \in Z_{J,D}; w_{P,gU_P} = w\}.$$

Then $Z_{J,D} = \cup_{w \in {}^{\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W}} {}^{w}Z_{J,D}$ is a partition; it is the same as the partition $Z_{J,D} = \cup_{\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{T}(J,\epsilon)} {}^{\mathbf{t}}Z_{J,D}$ in 26.2 (see also [L10, 3.11]). In particular, each ${}^{w}Z_{J,D}$ is a locally closed, smooth subvariety of $Z_{J,D}$ stable under the G^0-action $h : (P, gU_P) \mapsto (hPh^{-1}, hgh^{-1}U_{hPh^{-1}})$.

From definitions, for $J \subset \mathbf{I}$, $w \in {}^{\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W}$ we have a map

$$\vartheta_{J,w} : {}^{w}Z_{J,D} \to {}^{w}Z_{J_1,D}, (P, gU_P) \mapsto (P_1, gU_{P_1})$$

with $J_1 = J \cap \epsilon^{-1}(\mathrm{Ad}(w_0)J)$, $w_0 = \min(\mathbf{W}_{\epsilon(J)} w \mathbf{W}_J)$, $P_1 = (g^{-1}Pg \cap P)U_P$. This map may be identified with a map ϑ as in [L10, 3.11]; in particular it is an affine space bundle (see [L10, 3.12(b)]).

36.2. If $J \subset \mathbf{I}$ and $w \in {}^{\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W}$ satisfies $\epsilon^{-1}(\mathrm{Ad}(w)J) = J$ (hence $w \in {}^{\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W}^J$), we have ${}^{w}Z_{J,D} = \{(P, gU_P) \in Z_{J,D}, \mathrm{pos}(gPg^{-1}, P) = w\}$. In this case we pick $P \in \mathcal{P}_J, P' \in \mathcal{P}_{\epsilon(J)}$ such that $\mathrm{pos}(P', P) = w$ and a common Levi L of P', P. Let $\mathbf{d} = \{g \in D; gLg^{-1} = L, gPg^{-1} = P'\}$, a connected component of the reductive group $N_G L$ with identity component L. We have a diagram

$$\text{(a)} \qquad \mathbf{d} \xleftarrow{pr_2} G^0 \times \mathbf{d} \xrightarrow{j} {}^{w}Z_{J,D}$$

where $j(h, g) = (hPh^{-1}, hgh^{-1}U_{hPh^{-1}})$ is a principal $(P \cap P')$-bundle for a $(P \cap P')$-action on $G^0 \times \mathbf{d}$ compatible under pr_2 with the $(P \cap P')$-action on $\mathbf{d}$ via its quotient $(P \cap P')/U_{P \cap P'} = L$ (by conjugation in $N_G L$). If X is a character sheaf on $\mathbf{d}$ then $pr_2^{\bigstar} X$ is therefore a $(P \cap P')$-equivariant simple perverse sheaf on $G^0 \times \mathbf{d}$ which must be of the form $j^{\bigstar} X'$ for a well defined simple perverse sheaf X' on ${}^{w}Z_{J,D}$.

The collection of simple perverse sheaves on ${}^{w}Z_{J,D}$ of the form X' with X as above is denoted by ${}^{w}\hat{Z}_{J,D}$. This collection is independent of the choice of P, P', L. Note that $X \mapsto X'$ defines a bijection between the set of isomorphism classes of character sheaves on $\mathbf{d}$ and the set of isomorphism classes of objects in ${}^{w}\hat{Z}_{J,D}$.

36.3. More generally, for $J \subset \mathbf{I}$ and $w \in {}^{\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W}$, we define by induction on $|J|$ a collection of simple G^0-equivariant perverse sheaves ${}^{w}\hat{Z}_{J,D}$ on ${}^{w}Z_{J,D}$.

If $|J| = 0$ then ${}^{w}\hat{Z}_{J,D}$ is defined as in 36.2. If $|J| \geq 1$ and $\epsilon^{-1}(\mathrm{Ad}(w)J) = J$, then ${}^{w}\hat{Z}_{J,D}$ is again defined as in 36.2. If $|J| \geq 1$ and $\epsilon^{-1}(\mathrm{Ad}(w)J) \neq J$ then $\epsilon^{-1}(\mathrm{Ad}(w_0)J) \neq J$ where $w_0 = \min(\mathbf{W}_{\epsilon(J)} w \mathbf{W}_J)$. Thus, if $J_1 = J \cap \epsilon^{-1}(\mathrm{Ad}(w_0)J)$ then $|J_1| < |J|$ and the class of perverse sheaves ${}^{w}\hat{Z}_{J_1,D}$ on ${}^{w}Z_{J_1,D}$ is defined from the induction hypothesis. By definition, ${}^{w}\hat{Z}_{J,D}$ consists of the simple perverse sheaves on ${}^{w}Z_{J,D}$ of the form $\vartheta_{J,w}^{\bigstar}(X)$ for some $X \in {}^{w}Z_{J_1,D}$ (with $\vartheta_{J,w}$ as in 36.1). This completes the inductive definition of ${}^{w}\hat{Z}_{J,D}$. The objects of ${}^{w}\hat{Z}_{J,D}$ are

said to be *character sheaves on* ${}^wZ_{J,D}$. Let $\mathcal{I}_{J,w,D}$ be a set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of character sheaves on ${}^wZ_{J,D}$.

For $J \subset \mathbf{I}$ and $w \in {}^{\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W}$, let $\mathcal{D}^{cs}({}^wZ_{J,D})$ be the subcategory of $\mathcal{D}({}^wZ_{J,D})$ whose objects are those $K \in \mathcal{D}({}^wZ_{J,D})$ such that for any j, any simple subquotient of ${}^pH^jK$ is in ${}^w\hat{Z}_{J,D}$. Let $i_{J,w} : {}^wZ_{J,D} \to Z_{J,D}$ be the inclusion.

(a) *If $K \in \mathcal{D}^{cs}(Z_{J,D})$ then $i^*_{J,w}(K) \in \mathcal{D}^{cs}({}^wZ_{J,D})$.*

It is enough to prove (a) for $K \in \hat{Z}_{J,D}$. In this case (a) follows from [L10, 4.12].

For $J \subset \mathbf{I}$, $w \in {}^{\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W}$ and $K \in {}^w\hat{Z}_{J,D}$, let $K^\sharp$ be the unique simple perverse sheaf on $Z_{J,D}$ such that $i^*_{J,w}(K^\sharp) = K$ and $\operatorname{supp}(K^\sharp)$ is the closure in $Z_{J,D}$ of $\operatorname{supp}(K)$.

(b) *We have $K^\sharp \in \hat{Z}_{J,D}$.*

This is proved in [L10, 4.17] based on the following statement:

(c) *Let Y be a locally closed subvariety of an algebraic variety Y' and let $i : Y \to Y'$ be the inclusion. Let $C \in \mathcal{D}(Y)$ and let A be a simple perverse sheaf on Y such that $A \dashv C$. Let $A^\sharp$ be the unique simple perverse sheaf on Y such that $i^*(A^\sharp) = A$ and $\operatorname{supp}(A^\sharp)$ is the closure in Y' of $\operatorname{supp}(A)$. Then $A^\sharp \dashv i_!C$.*

(In *loc.cit.* this is applied with $Y = {}^wZ_{J,D}, Y' = Z_{J,D}, A = K$ and an $C \in \mathcal{D}^{cs}(Z_{J,D})$.) Since the proof of (c) is omitted in *loc.cit.* we give a proof here. Let Y_1 be the closure of Y in Y'. Let $Y \xrightarrow{i_1} Y_1 \xrightarrow{i_2} Y'$ be the inclusions. Clearly, if (c) holds for i_1 and i_2 instead of i then it holds for i. Thus we may assume that $i = i_1$ or $i = i_2$ that is, that Y is open or closed in Y'. Assume first that Y is closed in Y'. Then $A^\sharp = i_!A$ and $i_!$ commutes with taking ${}^pH^j$; hence $i_!A \dashv i_!C$ as desired. Next, assume that Y is open in Y'. Then i^* commutes with taking ${}^pH^j$ and $i^*i_!C = C$. Hence for any j we have ${}^pH^j(C) = i^*({}^pH^j(i_!C))$ and for some j we have $A \dashv i^*({}^pH^j(i_!C))$. Let

$0 = F_0 \subset F_1 \subset \ldots \subset F_m = {}^pH^j(i_!C)$

be a sequence of perverse subsheaves of ${}^pH^j(i_!C)$ such that F_k/F_{k-1} is simple for $k \in [1, m]$. Then

$0 = i^*F_0 \subset i^*F_1 \subset \ldots \subset i^*F_m = i^*({}^pH^j(i_!C))$

is a sequence of perverse subsheaves of $i^*({}^pH^j(i_!C))$ such that $i^*F_k/i^*F_{k-1} = i^*(F_k/F_{k-1})$ is simple or 0 for $k \in [1, m]$. Hence $A \cong i^*F_k/i^*F_{k-1} = i^*(F_k/F_{k-1})$ for some $k \in [1, m]$. Then $A' = F_k/F_{k-1}$ is a simple perverse sheaf on Y' such that $A' \dashv i_!C$, $i^*A' \cong A$. We must have $A' \cong A^\sharp$ and (c) is proved.

(d) *Let $K' \in \hat{Z}_{J,D}$. There exists a unique $w \in {}^{\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W}$ and a unique $K \in {}^w\hat{Z}_{J,D}$ (up to isomorphism) such that $K' \cong K^\sharp$.*

This is proved in [L10, 4.13]; it is an immediate consequence of (a).

Let $\mathcal{I}_{J,D} = \sqcup_{w \in {}^{\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W}} \{K^\sharp; K \in \mathcal{I}_{J,w,D}\}$. From (b), (d) we see that

(e) *$\mathcal{I}_{J,D}$ is a set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of character sheaves on $Z_{J,D}$.*

From (a),(b) we deduce:

(f) *If $w \in {}^{\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W}$ and $K \in \mathcal{D}^{cs}({}^wZ_{J,D})$, then $(i_{J,w})_!K \in \mathcal{D}^{cs}(Z_{J,D})$.*

36.4. For $J \subset J' \subset \mathbf{I}$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}_J$ let $Q_{J',P}$ be the unique parabolic in $\mathcal{P}_{J'}$ that

contains P. We have a diagram

$$Z_{J,D} \xleftarrow{\mathfrak{c}} Z_{J,J',D} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{d}} Z_{J',D}$$

where

$Z_{J,J',D} = \{(P, gU_Q); P \in \mathcal{P}_J, Q = Q_{J',P}, gU_Q \in D/U_Q\}$,

$\mathfrak{c}(P, gU_Q) = (P, gU_P), \mathfrak{d}(P, gU_Q) = (Q, gU_Q)$.

Define functors

$$\mathfrak{f}_{J,J'} : \mathcal{D}(Z_{J,D}) \to \mathcal{D}(Z_{J',D}), \mathfrak{e}_{J,J'} : \mathcal{D}(Z_{J',D}) \to \mathcal{D}(Z_{J,D})$$

by $\mathfrak{f}_{J,J'}A = \mathfrak{d}_!\mathfrak{c}^*A$, $\mathfrak{e}_{J,J'}A' = \mathfrak{c}_!\mathfrak{d}^*A'$. Now $\mathfrak{d}$ is proper and $\mathfrak{c}$ is an affine space bundle with fibres of dimension $a = \dim \mathcal{P}_J - \dim \mathcal{P}_{J'}$. Hence $\mathfrak{f}_{J,J'}$ commutes with Verdier duality up to a shift and a twist:

(a) $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{f}_{J,J'} = \mathfrak{f}_{J,J'}[[a]]\mathfrak{D} : \mathcal{D}(Z_{J,D}) \to \mathcal{D}(Z_{J',D})$.

For $J \subset J' \subset J'' \subset \mathbf{I}$ we have (see [L10, 6.2]):

(b) $\mathfrak{f}_{J,J''} = \mathfrak{f}_{J',J''}\mathfrak{f}_{J,J'}$, $\mathfrak{e}_{J,J''} = \mathfrak{e}_{J,J'}\mathfrak{e}_{J',J''}$.

Clearly, $\mathfrak{f}_{J,J} = 1, \mathfrak{e}_{J,J} = 1$.

For $J \subset J' \subset \mathbf{I}$ we show that the convolution bifunctor

$\mathcal{D}(Z_{J,D}) \times \mathcal{D}(Z_{\epsilon(J),D'}) \to \mathcal{D}(Z_{J,D'D})$, $A, B \mapsto A * B$,

(see 32.5) is compatible with the functors $\mathfrak{e}_{J,J'}$ in the following sense: for $A \in \mathcal{D}(Z_{J,D})$, $A' \in \mathcal{D}(Z_{\epsilon(J),D'})$, we have

$$(\mathfrak{e}_{J,J'}A) * (\mathfrak{e}_{J,J'}A') = \mathfrak{e}_{J,J'}(A * A'). \tag{c}$$

We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
Z_{J',D} \times Z_{\epsilon(J'),D'} & \xleftarrow{b'_1} & Z'_0 & \xrightarrow{b'_2} & Z_{J',D'D} \\
{\scriptstyle \mathfrak{d}\times\mathfrak{d}'}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle f_1}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle \mathfrak{d}''}\uparrow \\
Z_{J,J',D} \times Z_{\epsilon(J),\epsilon(J'),D'} & \xleftarrow{f_2} & \underline{Z} & \xrightarrow{f_3} & Z_{J,J',D'D} \\
{\scriptstyle \mathfrak{c}\times\mathfrak{c}'}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle f_4}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \mathfrak{c}''}\downarrow \\
Z_{J,D} \times Z_{\epsilon(J),D'} & \xleftarrow{b_1} & Z_0 & \xrightarrow{b_2} & Z_{J,D'D}
\end{array}$$

Here $\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{d}$ are as above,

$Z_{\epsilon(J'),D'} \xleftarrow{\mathfrak{c}'} Z_{\epsilon(J),\epsilon(J'),D'} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{d}'} Z_{\epsilon(J'),D'}$, $Z_{J,D'D} \xleftarrow{\mathfrak{c}''} Z_{J,J',D'D} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{d}''} Z_{J',D'D}$

are the analogous maps when (J, J', D) is replaced by $(\epsilon(J), \epsilon(J'), D')$ or by $(J, J', D'D)$, b_1, b_2 are as in 32.5, b'_1, b'_2 are the analogous maps with J replaced by J',

$$\underline{Z} = \{((X, P, gU_P, g'U_{P'}); X \in \mathcal{P}_J, P = Q_{J',X}, gU_P \in D/U_P, \\ P' = gPg^{-1}, g'U_{P'} \in D'/U_{P'}\},$$

$f_1(X, gU_P, g'U_{P'}) = (P, gPg^{-1}, g'gPg^{-1}g'^{-1}, gU_P, g'U_{gPg^{-1}})$,
$f_2(X, gU_P, g'U_{P'}) = ((X, gU_P), (gXg^{-1}, g'U_{gPg^{-1}}))$,
$f_3(X, gU_P, g'U_{P'}) = (X, g'gU_P)$,
$f_4(X, gU_P, g'U_{P'}) = (X, gXg^{-1}, g'gXg^{-1}g'^{-1}, gU_X, g'U_{gXg^{-1}})$.
It is enough to show that

$$b_{2!}b_1^*(\mathfrak{c}\times\mathfrak{c}')_!(\mathfrak{d}\times\mathfrak{d}')^*(A\boxtimes A') = \mathfrak{c}''_!\mathfrak{d}''^*b'_{2!}b'_1{}^*(A\boxtimes A').$$

Since the upper right and lower left squares are cartesian we have

$$b_1^*(\mathfrak{c}\times\mathfrak{c}')_! = f_{4!}f_2^*, \mathfrak{d}''^*b'_{2!} = f_{3!}f_1^*.$$

Hence it is enough to show that

$$b_{2!}f_{4!}f_2^*(\mathfrak{d}\times\mathfrak{d}')^*(A\boxtimes A') = \mathfrak{c}''_!f_{3!}f_1^*b'_1{}^*(A\boxtimes A').$$

This follows from the commutativity of the diagram above: we have $b_{2!}f_{4!} = \mathfrak{c}''_!f_{3!}$ and $f_2^*(\mathfrak{d}\times\mathfrak{d}')^* = f_1^*b'_1{}^*$. This proves (c).

If $A \in \mathcal{D}(Z_{J,D})$, $B \in \mathcal{D}(Z_{\epsilon(J),D'}), C \in \mathcal{D}(Z_{\epsilon'\epsilon(J),D''})$ then, from definitions, we have the associativity property:

$$(A * B) * C = A * (B * C). \tag{d}$$

Consider the isomorphism $\partial : Z_{J,D} \xrightarrow{\sim} Z_{\epsilon(J),D^{-1}}$ as in 28.19. Note that the composition $Z_{J,D} \xrightarrow{\partial} Z_{\epsilon(J),D^{-1}} \xrightarrow{\partial} Z_{J,D}$ is the identity map. From definitions, we have for A, B as above:

$$\partial_!(A * B) = (\partial_!B) * (\partial_!A). \tag{e}$$

For $J \subset \mathbf{I}$ we define a functor $\tau : \mathcal{D}(Z_{J,G^0}) \to \mathcal{D}(\text{point})$ by $\tau(C) = p'_!i^*(C)$ where $i : \mathcal{P}_J \to Z_{J,G^0}$ is the imbedding $P \mapsto (P, U_P)$ and $p' : \mathcal{P}_J \to$ point is the obvious map. We define a bifunctor $(:) : \mathcal{D}(Z_{J,D}) \times \mathcal{D}(Z_{J,D}) \to \mathcal{D}(\text{point})$ by $(A : B) = p_!(A \otimes B)$ where $p : Z_{J,D} \to$ point is the obvious map. As in 32.23(b) we have

$$(A : B) = \tau(A * (\partial_!B). \tag{f}$$

From definitions we have

$$(A : B) = (\partial_!A : \partial_!B). \tag{g}$$

If $A \in \mathcal{D}(Z_{J,D})$, $B \in \mathcal{D}(Z_{\epsilon(J),D'}), C \in \mathcal{D}(Z_{\epsilon'\epsilon(J),D^{-1}D'^{-1}})$ then from (d) we have $\tau((A * B) * C) = \tau(A * (B * C))$. Using (f) we rewrite this as $(A * B : \partial_!C) = (A : \partial_!(B * C))$ or, using (g), as:

$$(A * B : \partial_!C) = (\partial_!A : B * C). \tag{h}$$

For $J \subset J', \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{d}$ as above and $A \in \mathcal{D}(Z_{J,D}), B \in \mathcal{D}(Z_{J',D})$ we show:

$$(B : f_{J,J'}A) = (e_{J,J'}B : A) \in \mathcal{D}(\text{point}). \tag{i}$$

Let p_1, p_2, p_3 be the obvious maps from $Z_{J',D}, Z_{J,D}, Z_{J,J',D}$ to the point. We must show that $p_{1!}(B \otimes \mathfrak{d}_!\mathfrak{c}^*A) = p_{2!}(\mathfrak{c}_!\mathfrak{d}^*B \otimes A)$. Since $p_{1!}\mathfrak{d}_! = p_{3!} = p_{2!}\mathfrak{c}_!$, both sides are equal to $p_{3!}(\mathfrak{d}^*B \otimes \mathfrak{c}^*A)$. This proves (i).

36.5. For $J \subset J' \subset \mathbf{I}$ and $w \in {}^{\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W}, w' \in {}^{\epsilon(J')}\mathbf{W}$ we have a diagram

$$ {}^{w}Z_{J,D} \xleftarrow{\mathfrak{c}_{w,w'}} {}^{w,w'}Z_{J,J',D} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{d}_{w,w'}} {}^{w'}Z_{J',D}$$

where

$$ {}^{w,w'}Z_{J,J',D} = \{(P, gU_Q) \in Z_{J,J',D}; (P, gU_P) \in {}^{w}Z_{J,D}, (Q, gU_Q) \in {}^{w'}Z_{J',D}\}$$

and $\mathfrak{c}_{w,w'}, \mathfrak{d}_{w,w'}$ are the restrictions of $\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{d}$ in 36.4. Consider the functor
$\mathfrak{f}_{J,w,J',w'} : \mathcal{D}({}^{w}Z_{J,D}) \to \mathcal{D}({}^{w'}Z_{J',D}), A \mapsto \mathfrak{d}_{w,w'!}\mathfrak{c}^*_{w,w'}A$.
From definitions we see that, for $A \in \mathcal{D}({}^{w}Z_{J,D})$, we have

$$\text{(a)} \qquad \mathfrak{f}_{J,w,J',w'}(A) = i^*_{J',w'}\mathfrak{f}_{J,J'}(i_{J,w!}A).$$

36.6. Let $J \subset \mathbf{I}$ and let $w \in {}^{\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W}$. We have a sequence of affine space bundles

$$\text{(a)} \qquad {}^{w}Z_{J,D} \xrightarrow{\vartheta_{J,w}} {}^{w}Z_{J_1,D} \xrightarrow{\vartheta_{J_1,w}} {}^{w}Z_{J_2,D} \xrightarrow{\vartheta_{J_2,w}} \dots$$

where $\vartheta_{J,w}$ is as in 36.1, $\vartheta_{J_1,w}$ is the analogous map with J replaced by J_1 (we set $(J_1)_1 = J_2$), $\vartheta_{J_2,w}$ is the analogous map with J replaced by J_2 (we set $(J_2)_1 = J_3$), etc. We have $J \supset J_1 \supset J_2 \supset \dots$. Let $J_\infty = J_r$ for large r. Since $\vartheta_{J_r,w} = 1$ for large r, the composition of the maps (a) is a well defined map $\underline{\vartheta} : {}^{w}Z_{J,D} \to {}^{w}Z_{J_\infty,D}$. For any $(P, gU_P) \in {}^{w}Z_{J,D}$ we have

$$\vartheta_{J,w}(P, gU_P) = (P_1, gU_P), \vartheta_{J_1,w}(P_1, gU_{P_1}) = (P_2, gU_{P_2}), \dots$$

where $P \supset P_1 \supset P_2 \supset \dots$. Let $P_\infty = P_r$ for large r. We show:
(b) *The map* $\alpha : {}^{w,w}Z_{J_\infty,J,D} \to {}^{w}Z_{J,D}$, $(R, gU_P) \mapsto (P, gU_P)$, *is an isomorphism.*

We show only that α is a bijection. Let $(P, gU_P) \in {}^{w}Z_{J,D}$. By [L10, 4.14(b)] there exists $(B, h) \in \mathcal{B} \times gU_P$ such that $B \subset P$ and $\text{pos}(hBh^{-1}, B) = w$. We have also $\text{pos}(gBg^{-1}, B) = w$. Let $R = Q_{J_\infty,B}$. By [L10, 4.14(a)] we have $(R, gU_R) \in {}^{w}Z_{J_\infty,D}$. We have $(R, gU_P) \in {}^{w,w}Z_{J_\infty,J,D}$ and $\alpha(R, gU_P) = (P, gU_P)$. Thus α is surjective.

We show that α is injective. Let $(R, gU_P) \in {}^{w,w}Z_{J_\infty,J,D}$. Define $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_\infty$ in terms of (P, gU_P) as above. It is enough to show that $R = P_\infty$. Let $P' = gPg^{-1}, R' = gRg^{-1}$. Since $(R, gU_R) \in {}^{w}Z_{J_\infty,D}$ and $\epsilon^{-1}(\text{Ad}(w)J_\infty) = J_\infty$, we see that R, R' have a common Levi and $\text{pos}(R', R) = w$. Hence if B' is any Borel of R', there exists a Borel B of R such that $\text{pos}(B', B) = w$. If $w_0 = \text{pos}(P', P)$, we have $w = w_0x$ where $x \in \mathbf{W}_J$ (see [L10, 2.1(b)]) and $l(w_0) + l(x) = l(w)$. Hence we can find a Borel B_1 of G^0 such that $\text{pos}(B', B_1) = w_0$, $\text{pos}(B_1, B) = x$. Since $B \subset R \subset P$ we have $B_1 \subset P$. Since $\text{pos}(B', B_1) = \text{pos}(P', P) = w_0$, we have $B' \subset (P' \cap P)U_{P'}$ (see [L10, 2.7]). Since this holds for any Borel B' of

R' and R' is the union of its Borels, it follows that $R' \subset (P' \cap P)U_{P'}$. Hence $R = g^{-1}R'g \subset (g^{-1}Pg \cap P)U_P = P_1$. Hence $(R, gU_{P_1}) \in {}^{w,w}Z_{J_\infty,J_1,D}$ is well defined. Repeating the previous argument for (R, gU_{P_1}) instead of (R, gU_P) we see that $R \subset P_2$. Continuing in this way we obtain $R \subset P_r$ for any $r \geq 0$. In particular $R \subset P_\infty$. Since R, P_∞ are parabolics of the same type, we see that $R = P_\infty$. This proves (b).

Next we show:

(c) *If $y \in {}^{\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W}$, $y \neq w$, then ${}^{w,y}Z_{J_\infty,J,D} = \emptyset$.*

Assume that $(R, gU_P) \in {}^{w,y}Z_{J_\infty,J,D}$. We have $(R, gU_R) \in {}^{w}Z_{J_\infty,D}$ and by [L10, 4.14(b)] there exists $(B, h) \in \mathcal{B} \times gU_R$ such that $B \subset R$ and $\mathrm{pos}(hBh^{-1}, B) = w$. We have also $\mathrm{pos}(gBg^{-1}, B) = w$. Since $B \subset P$ and $w \in {}^{\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W}$, we see, using [L10, 4.14(a)], that $(P, gU_P) \in {}^{w}Z_{J,D}$. Since $(P, gU_P) \in {}^{y}Z_{J,D}$ and ${}^{y}Z_{J,D}, {}^{w}Z_{J,D}$ are disjoint for $y \neq w$, we have a contradiction. This proves (c).

Let $A \in {}^{w}\hat{Z}_{J,D}$. From definitions we have $A = \underline{\vartheta}^{\bigstar}(A')$ with $A' \in {}^{w}\hat{Z}_{J_\infty,D}$. We show:

(d) $\mathfrak{f}_{J_\infty,w,J,w}(A') \cong A[-\delta]$ *for some integer δ.*

(e) $\mathfrak{f}_{J_\infty,w,J,y}(A') = 0$ *for any $y \in {}^{\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W}$, $y \neq w$.*

Now (e) follows immediately from (c). To prove (d) we recall that $\mathfrak{f}_{J_\infty,w,J,w}(A') = \alpha_!\tilde{a}^*(A')$ where $\tilde{a} : {}^{w,w}Z_{J_\infty,J,D} \to {}^{w}Z_{J_\infty,D}$ is given by $(R, gU_P) \mapsto (R, gU_R)$. Define $e : {}^{w}Z_{J,D} \to {}^{w,w}Z_{J_\infty,J,D}$ by $e(P, gU_P) = (P_\infty, gU_P)$ with P_∞ as above. Clearly, $\tilde{a}e = \underline{\vartheta}$, $\alpha e = 1$. Since α is an isomorphism, we see that

$$\alpha_!\tilde{a}^*(A') = e^*\tilde{a}^*(A') = \underline{\vartheta}^*(A') = \underline{\vartheta}^{\bigstar}(A')[-\delta] = A[-\delta]$$

where δ is the dimension of any fibre of $\underline{\vartheta}$. This proves (d).

36.7. Let $L \subset J \subset \mathbf{I}$ and let $w \in {}^{\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W}, y \in {}^{\epsilon(L)}\mathbf{W}$. Assume that $\epsilon^{-1}(\mathrm{Ad}(w)J) = J$, $\epsilon^{-1}(\mathrm{Ad}(y)L) = L$. From definitions we have

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{y,w}Z_{L,J,D} =& \{(R, gU_P); R \in \mathcal{P}_L, P = Q_{J,R}, gU_P \in D/U_P, \\ & \mathrm{pos}(gRg^{-1}, R) = y, \mathrm{pos}(gPg^{-1}, P) = w\}. \end{aligned}$$

We show:

(a) ${}^{y,w}Z_{L,J,D} = \emptyset$ unless $y \in \mathbf{W}_{\epsilon(J)}w = w\mathbf{W}_J$ that is, $w = \min(\mathbf{W}_{\epsilon(J)}y\mathbf{W}_J)$.

Assume that $(R, gU_P) \in {}^{y,w}Z_{L,J,D}$. Let B be a Borel of gRg^{-1}. Then $B \subset gPg^{-1}$. In our case gPg^{-1}, P have a common Levi; hence there exists a Borel B' of P such that $\mathrm{pos}(B, B') = \mathrm{pos}(gPg^{-1}, P) = w$. Similarly, since gRg^{-1}, R have a common Levi, there exists a Borel B'' of R such that $\mathrm{pos}(B, B'') = \mathrm{pos}(gRg^{-1}, R) = y$. Let $z = \mathrm{pos}(B', B'')$. Since $B' \subset P, B'' \subset P$, we have $z \in \mathbf{W}_J$. Since $w \in \mathbf{W}^J$ we have $l(wz) = l(w) + l(z)$ hence from $\mathrm{pos}(B, B') = w, \mathrm{pos}(B', B'') = z$ we deduce $\mathrm{pos}(B, B'') = wz$. Thus $y = wz$ and (a) follows.

Now for $J \subset \mathbf{I}$, $w \in {}^{\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W}, w' \in {}^{\epsilon'\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W}, y \in {}^{\epsilon'\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W}$, the diagram

$Z_{J,D} \times Z_{\epsilon(J),D'} \xleftarrow{b_1} Z_0 \xrightarrow{b_2} Z_{J,D'D}$
(see 32.5) restricts to a diagram

$$ {}^wZ_{J,D} \times {}^{w'}Z_{\epsilon(J),D'} \xleftarrow{b_{w,w',1}} {}^{w,w',y}Z_0 \xrightarrow{b_{w,w',2}} {}^yZ_{J,D'D}$$

where ${}^{w,w',y}Z_0 = b_1^{-1}({}^wZ_{J,D} \times {}^{w'}Z_{\epsilon(J),D'}) \cap b_2^{-1}({}^yZ_{J,D'D})$. Define a bifunctor $\mathcal{D}({}^wZ_{J,D}) \times \mathcal{D}({}^{w'}Z_{\epsilon(J),D'}) \to \mathcal{D}({}^yZ_{J,D'D})$ by

$$A, B \mapsto A *_y B = b_{w,w',2!}b^*_{w,w',1}(A \boxtimes B).$$

Let $K \in \mathcal{D}({}^wZ_{J,D}), K' \in \mathcal{D}({}^{w'}Z_{\epsilon(J),D'})$. From definitions we see that

$$i^*_{J,y}((i_{J,w!}K) * (i_{\epsilon(J),w'!}K')) = K *_y K'. \tag{b}$$

36.8. In the remainder of this section we assume that $\mathbf{k}$ is an algebraic closure of a finite field. Let $J \subset \mathbf{I}$. As in 31.2 let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$, v an indeterminate. Let $\mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D})$ (resp. $\mathfrak{K}'(Z_{J,D})$) be the free $\mathcal{A}$-module with basis given by the elements in $\mathcal{I}_{J,D}$ (resp. in $\sqcup_{w\in{}^{\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W}}\mathcal{I}_{J,w,D}$). We have $\mathfrak{K}'(Z_{J,D}) = \oplus_{w\in{}^{\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W}}{}^w\mathfrak{K}'(Z_{J,D})$ where ${}^w\mathfrak{K}'(Z_{J,D})$ is the $\mathcal{A}$-submodule of $\mathfrak{K}'(Z_{J,D})$ generated by $\mathcal{I}_{J,w,D}$. For $w \in {}^{\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W}$,
$i^*_{J,w} : \mathcal{D}(Z_{J,D}) \to \mathcal{D}({}^wZ_{J,D})$, $i^*_{J,w!} : \mathcal{D}({}^wZ_{J,D}) \to \mathcal{D}(Z_{J,D})$
restrict to functors
$\mathcal{D}^{cs}(Z_{J,D}) \to \mathcal{D}^{cs}({}^wZ_{J,D})$, $\mathcal{D}^{cs}({}^wZ_{J,D}) \to \mathcal{D}^{cs}(Z_{J,D})$,
see 36.3(a), 36.3(f). These functors, or rather their mixed analogues, are compatible with the triangular structures hence they induces $\mathcal{A}$-linear maps
$\phi_{J,w} : \mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D}) \to {}^w\mathfrak{K}'(Z_{J,D})$, $\phi'_{J,w} : {}^w\mathfrak{K}'(Z_{J,D}) \to \mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D})$.
Clearly, $\phi_{J,w}\phi'_{J,w} = 1 : {}^w\mathfrak{K}'(Z_{J,D}) \to {}^w\mathfrak{K}'(Z_{J,D})$. For $A \in \mathcal{I}_{J,D}$ regarded as a pure complex of weight 0, we have

$$\phi_{J,w}(A) = \sum_{A_1\in\mathcal{I}_{J,w,D}} \sum_{j,h} (-1)^j v^h (\text{multiplicity of } A_1 \text{ in } {}^pH^j(i^*_{J,w}A)_h)A_1$$

(the subscript h means "the subquotient of pure weight h"). Define an $\mathcal{A}$-linear map $\phi : \mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D}) \to \mathfrak{K}'(Z_{J,D})$ by $\phi = \oplus_{w\in{}^{\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W}}\phi_{J,w}$.

From 36.3(b),(d) we see that the matrix of ϕ is square and upper triangular (with 1 on diagonal) with respect to a suitable order on $\mathcal{I}_{J,D}$. In particular,

(a) $\phi : \mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D}) \to \mathfrak{K}'(Z_{J,D})$ *is an isomorphism.*

Note that the inverse isomorphism restricted to ${}^w\mathfrak{K}'(Z_{J,D})$ is just $\phi'_{J,w}$. Clearly,

(b) $\mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D}) = \oplus_{w\in{}^{\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W}}{}^w\mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D})$,

where ${}^w\mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D}) = \phi^{-1}({}^w\mathfrak{K}'(Z_{J,D})$ for $w \in {}^{\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W}$.

We have a partition $\mathcal{I}_{J,D} = \sqcup_{\mathfrak{k}\in\mathbf{W}\backslash\underline{\mathfrak{s}}(\mathbf{T})}\mathcal{I}^{\mathfrak{k}}_{J,D}$ where $\mathbf{W}\backslash\underline{\mathfrak{s}}(\mathbf{T})$ is the set of $\mathbf{W}$-orbits on $\underline{\mathfrak{s}}(\mathbf{T})$ and $\mathcal{I}^{\mathfrak{k}}_{J,D}$ consists of those $A \in \mathcal{I}_{J,D}$ such that $A \in \hat{Z}^{\mathcal{L}}_{J,D}$ for some $\mathcal{L} \in \mathfrak{s}(\mathbf{T})$ whose isomorphism class is in $\mathfrak{k}$. We have

(c) $\mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D})=\oplus_{\mathfrak{k}\in\mathbf{W}\backslash\underline{\mathfrak{s}}(\mathbf{T})}\mathfrak{K}^{\mathfrak{k}}(Z_{J,D})$

where $\mathfrak{K}^{\mathfrak{k}}(Z_{J,D})$ is the $\mathcal{A}$-submodule of $\mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D})$ generated by $\mathcal{I}^{\mathfrak{k}}_{J,D}$. From definitions we see that each ${}^w\mathfrak{K}'(Z_{J,D})$ and each ${}^w\mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D})$ has a natural direct sum decomposition indexed by $\mathbf{W}\backslash\underline{\mathfrak{s}}(\mathbf{T})$ analogous to (c). It follows that the decompositions (b),(c) are compatible in the sense that

(d) $\mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D})=\oplus_{w,\mathfrak{k}}({}^w\mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D})\cap\mathfrak{K}^{\mathfrak{k}}(Z_{J,D}))$.

36.9. Let $J\subset J'\subset\mathbf{I}$. As in [L10, 6.4, 6.7(b)] we see that $\mathfrak{f}_{J,J'},\mathfrak{e}_{J,J'}$ restrict to functors $\mathfrak{f}_{J,J'}:\mathcal{D}^{cs}(Z_{J,D})\to\mathcal{D}^{cs}(Z_{J',D})$, $\mathfrak{e}_{J,J'}:\mathcal{D}^{cs}(Z_{J',D})\to\mathcal{D}^{cs}(Z_{J,D})$, denoted again by $\mathfrak{f}_{J,J'},\mathfrak{e}_{J,J'}$. These functors or, rather, their mixed analogues, are compatible with the triangulated structures hence induce $\mathcal{A}$-linear maps $\mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D})\to\mathfrak{K}(Z_{J',D})$, $\mathfrak{K}(Z_{J',D})\to\mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D})$ denoted again by $\mathfrak{f}_{J,J'},\mathfrak{e}_{J,J'}$; the identities 36.4(b) continue to hold for these linear maps. Moreover, Verdier duality induces a group homomorphism $\mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D})\to\mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D})$ (denoted again by $\mathfrak{D}$) and from 36.4(a) we deduce

$$\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{f}_{J,J'}=v^{-2a}\mathfrak{f}_{J,J'}\mathfrak{D}:\mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D})\to\mathfrak{K}(Z_{J',D}) \tag{a}$$

with a as in 36.4(a). Now for any $A\in\mathcal{I}_{J,D}$ we have

$$\mathfrak{D}(v^mA)=v^{-m}A^* \tag{b}$$

where $A^*\in\mathcal{I}_{J,D}$ is isomorphic to the Verdier dual of A. If $J\subset J'$, $\mathfrak{c},\mathfrak{d},a$ are as in 36.4, then for $A\in\mathcal{I}_{J,D},B\in\mathcal{I}_{J',D}$ (regarded as pure perverse sheaves of weight 0) we have

$$\mathfrak{f}_{J,J'}A=\sum_{A_1\in\mathcal{I}_{J',D}}\sum_{j,h}(-1)^jv^h(\text{multiplicity of }A_1\text{ in }{}^pH^j(\mathfrak{d}_!\mathfrak{c}^*A)_h)A_1,$$

$$\mathfrak{e}_{J,J'}B=\sum_{B_1\in\mathcal{I}_{J,D}}\sum_{j,h}(-1)^jv^h(\text{multiplicity of }B_1\text{ in }{}^pH^j(\mathfrak{c}_!\mathfrak{d}^*B)_h)B_1$$

(the subscript h means "the subquotient of pure weight h"). Since $\mathfrak{c}$ is an affine space bundle with fibres of dimension a, $\mathfrak{c}^*A[a]$ is a pure perverse sheaf of weight a. By [De, 6.2.6] applied to the proper morphism $\mathfrak{d}$, $\mathfrak{d}_!\mathfrak{c}^*A[a]$ is a pure complex of weight a. From [BBD, 5.4.1], we see that ${}^pH^j(\mathfrak{d}_!\mathfrak{c}^*A)={}^pH^{j-a}(\mathfrak{d}_!\mathfrak{c}^*A[a])$ is pure of weight $(j-a)+a=j$. Hence, writing $\mathfrak{f}_{J,J'}A=\sum_{A_1\in\mathcal{I}_{J',D}}x_{A,A_1}A_1$, $x_{A,A_1}\in\mathcal{A}$ we have

$$x_{A,A_1}=\sum_j(-v)^j(\text{multiplicity of }A_1\text{ in }{}^pH^{j-a}(\mathfrak{d}_!\mathfrak{c}^*A[a])).$$

Let $\xi\mapsto\bar{\xi}$ be the group homomorphism $\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{A}$ given by $v^m\mapsto v^{-m}$ for $m\in\mathbf{Z}$. Since $\mathfrak{c}^*A[a]$ is a pure perverse sheaf we have (using the relative hard Lefschetz

theorem [BBD, 5.4.10]):

$$\bar{x}_{A,A_1} = \sum_j (-v)^{-j}(\text{multiplicity of } A_1 \text{ in } {}^pH^{j-a}(\mathfrak{d}_!\mathfrak{c}^*A[a]))$$
$$= \sum_j (-v)^{-j}(\text{multiplicity of } A_1 \text{ in } {}^pH^{-j+a}(\mathfrak{d}_!\mathfrak{c}^*A[a]))$$
$$= \sum_{j'} (-v)^{j'-2a}(\text{multiplicity of } A_1 \text{ in } {}^pH^{j'-a}(\mathfrak{d}_!\mathfrak{c}^*A[a])) = v^{-2a}x_{A,A_1}.$$

Define a group homomorphism $\beta_J : \mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D}) \to \mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D})$ by $\beta_J(v^m A) = v^{-m}A$ for $A \in \mathcal{I}_{J,D}, m \in \mathbf{Z}$. We see that $\beta_{J'}(\mathfrak{f}_{J,J'}A) = v^{-2a}\mathfrak{f}_{J,J'}A$ for any $A \in \mathcal{I}_{J,D}, m \in \mathbf{Z}$. Hence for any $\xi \in \mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D})$ we have

$$\beta_{J'}(\mathfrak{f}_{J,J'}\xi) = v^{-2a}\mathfrak{f}_{J,J'}(\beta_J(\xi)). \tag{c}$$

Define $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}} : \mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D}) \to \mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D})$ by $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}A = \mathfrak{D}\beta_J(A) = \beta_J\mathfrak{D}(A)$. Clearly, $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}$ is $\mathcal{A}$-linear. Note that

(d) *the maps $\mathfrak{f}_{J,J'}, \mathfrak{e}_{J,J'}, \beta_J$ are compatible with the decompositions of type 36.8(c) while $\mathfrak{D}, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}$ map the summand corresponding to $\mathfrak{k}$ in 36.8(c) onto the summand corresponding to $\check{\mathfrak{k}}$. (Here $\check{\mathfrak{k}}$ is the image of $\mathfrak{k}$ under $\mathcal{L} \mapsto \check{\mathcal{L}}$, see 28.18.)*

From 32.21 we see that convolution (see 32.5) restricts to a bifunctor

$\mathcal{D}^{cs}(Z_{J,D}) \times \mathcal{D}^{cs}(Z_{\epsilon(J),D'}) \to \mathcal{D}^{cs}(Z_{J,D'D})$.

This induces an $\mathcal{A}$-bilinear pairing $\mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D}) \times \mathfrak{K}(Z_{\epsilon(J),D'}) \to \mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D'D})$. It sends $A \in \mathcal{I}_{J,D}, B \in \mathcal{I}_{\epsilon(J),D'}$ (regarded as pure perverse sheaves of weight 0) to

$$\sum_{A_1 \in \mathcal{I}_{J,D'D}} \sum_{j,h} (-1)^j v^h (\text{multiplicity of } A_1 \text{ in } {}^pH^j(A * B)_h)A_1.$$

This bilinear pairing is denoted again by $A, B \mapsto A * B$. If $\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}' \in \mathbf{W}\backslash\underline{\mathfrak{s}}(\mathbf{T})$ and $\xi \in \underline{\mathfrak{K}}^{\mathfrak{k}}(Z_{J,D})$, $\xi'^{\mathfrak{k}'} \in \mathfrak{K}(Z_{\epsilon(J),D'})$, then $\xi * \xi' \in \mathfrak{K}^{\mathfrak{k}}(Z_{J,D'D})$ if $\mathfrak{k}' = \underline{D}(\mathfrak{k})$ and $\xi * \xi' = 0$ if $\mathfrak{k}' \neq \underline{D}(\mathfrak{k})$ (see 32.6(a),(b)).

From 28.19 we see that $\partial_! : \mathcal{D}(Z_{J,D}) \to \mathcal{D}(Z_{\epsilon(J),D^{-1}})$ restricts to an equivalence of categories $\mathcal{D}^{cs}(Z_{J,D}) \to \mathcal{D}^{cs}(Z_{\epsilon(J),D^{-1}})$; this induces an $\mathcal{A}$-linear isomorphism $\mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{K}(Z_{\epsilon(J),D^{-1}})$ denoted again by ∂. Now the composition $\mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D}) \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathfrak{K}(Z_{\epsilon(J),D^{-1}}) \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D})$ is the identity map.

In the setup of 36.5, $\mathfrak{f}_{J,w,J',w'}$ restricts to a functor $\mathfrak{f}_{J,w,J',w'} : \mathcal{D}^{cs}({}^wZ_{J,D}) \to \mathcal{D}^{cs}({}^{w'}Z_{J',D})$. (We use the analogous statement for $\mathfrak{f}_{J,J'}$, as above, together with 36.5(a), 36.3(a), 36.3(f).) Hence there is an induced $\mathcal{A}$-linear map ${}^w\mathfrak{K}'(Z_{J,D}) \to {}^{w'}\mathfrak{K}'(Z_{J',D})$ denoted again by $\mathfrak{f}_{J,w,J',w'}$. Let $\mathfrak{f}'_{J,J'} : \mathfrak{K}'(Z_{J,D}) \to \mathfrak{K}'(Z_{J',D})$ be the unique $\mathcal{A}$-linear map such that $pr_{w'}\mathfrak{f}'_{J,J'}pr_w = \mathfrak{f}_{J,w,J',w'}$ for any w, w' as above. (Here pr_w is the projection of $\mathfrak{K}'(Z_{J',D})$ onto the direct summand ${}^w\mathfrak{K}'(Z_{J,D})$.) From 36.5(a) we see that for $x \in {}^w\mathfrak{K}'(Z_{J',D})$ we have

$$\mathfrak{f}'_{J,J'} = \phi\mathfrak{f}_{J,J'}\phi^{-1}. \tag{e}$$

We also have

(f) $$\partial \mathfrak{f}_{J,J'} = \mathfrak{f}_{\epsilon(J),\epsilon(J')}\partial, \partial \mathfrak{e}_{J,J'} = \mathfrak{e}_{\epsilon(J),\epsilon(J')}\partial.$$

Now the functor $\tau : \mathcal{D}^{cs}(Z_{J,G^0}) \to \mathcal{D}(\text{point})$ (see 36.4) or rather its mixed analogue induces an $\mathcal{A}$-linear map $\mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,G^0}) \to \mathcal{A}$; it sends $C \in \mathcal{I}_{J,G^0}$ regarded as a pure complex of weight 0 to

$\sum_{j,h}(-1)^j v^h \dim(H^j_c(\mathcal{P}_J, i^*C)_h) \in \mathcal{A}$

where $i : \mathcal{P}_J \to Z_{J,G^0}$ is $P \mapsto (P, U_P)$ and $H^j_c(\mathcal{P}_J, i^*C)_h$ denotes the part of weight h of the mixed vector space $H^j_c(\mathcal{P}_J, i^*C)$. This linear map is denoted again by τ.

Similarly, the bifunctor $(:) : \mathcal{D}^{cs}(Z_{J,D}) \times \mathcal{D}^{cs}(Z_{J,D}) \to \mathcal{D}(\text{point})$ (see 36.4) or rather its mixed analogue induces an $\mathcal{A}$-bilinear pairing $\mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D}) \times \mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D}) \to \mathcal{A}$; it sends $A, B \in \mathcal{I}_{J,D}$ regarded as pure complexes of weight 0 to

$\sum_{j,h}(-1)^j v^h \dim(H^j_c(Z_{J,D}, A \otimes B)_h) \in \mathcal{A}$.

(Here $H^j_c(Z_{J,D}, A \otimes B)_h$ denotes the part of weight h of the mixed vector space $H^j_c(Z_{J,D}, A \otimes B)$.) This bilinear pairing is denoted again by (:). From [BBD, 5.1.14] we see that $(A : B) \in \mathbf{Z}[v^{-1}]$ and from [L3, II,7.4] and its proof we see that the constant term of $(A : B)$ is δ_{A,B^*}. Thus,

(g) $$(A : B) \in \delta_{A,B^*} + v^{-1}\mathbf{Z}[v^{-1}].$$

We show:

(h) *if* $\xi \in \mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D}), (\xi : \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}(\xi))) = 0$ *then* $\xi = 0$.

Assume that $\xi \neq 0$. We have $\xi = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{I}_{J,D}, m \in \mathbf{Z}} g_{A,m} v^m A$ where $g_{A,m} \in \mathbf{Z}$ is zero for all but finitely many A, m. We can find $e \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $g_{A,e} \neq 0$ for some $A \in \mathcal{I}_{J,D}$ and $g_{A,m} = 0$ for all $m > e$ and all $A \in \mathcal{I}_{J,D}$. Then

$(\xi : \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}(\xi))) = \sum_{A_1,A,m_1,m} g_{A_1,m_1} g_{A,m} v^{m_1+m}(A_1 : A^*)$.

By (g) this equals $\sum_A g^2_{A,e} v^{2e} +$ an element in $v^{2e-1}\mathbf{Z}[v^{-1}]$. This is non-zero since $\sum_A g^2_{A,e} \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$. This proves (h).

We show:

(i) *If* $\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}' \in \mathbf{W}\backslash\underline{\mathfrak{s}}(\mathbf{T})$ *and* $\mathfrak{k}' \neq \check{\mathfrak{k}}$ *then* $(\mathfrak{K}^{\mathfrak{k}}(Z_{J,D}) : \mathfrak{K}^{\mathfrak{k}'}(Z_{J,D})) = 0$.

Let $A \in \hat{Z}^{\mathcal{L}}_{J,D}, B \in \hat{Z}^{\mathcal{L}_1}_{J,D}$ where $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}_1 \in \mathfrak{s}(\mathbf{T})$. It is enough to show that:

if $H^j_c(Z_{J,D}, A \otimes B) \neq 0$ *for some* j *then the isomorphism class of* $\mathcal{L}_1$ *is in the* $\mathbf{W}$*-orbit of the isomorphism class of* $\check{\mathcal{L}}$.

From our assumption we have $A * \partial_!(B) \neq 0$. We have $A \dashv \bar{K}^{\bar{s},\mathcal{L}}_{J,D}$ as in 28.13(v) and similarly $B \dashv \bar{K}^{\bar{s}',\mathcal{L}_1}_{J,D}$. Hence $\partial_! B \dashv \bar{K}^{\bar{s}',\mathcal{L}''}_{\epsilon(J),D^{-1}}$ where $\mathcal{L}'' = (\underline{D}^{-1})^*\check{\mathcal{L}}$, see 28.19. We then have $\bar{K}^{\bar{s},\mathcal{L}}_{J,D} * \bar{K}^{\bar{s}',\mathcal{L}''}_{\epsilon(J),D^{-1}} \neq 0$. Using 32.6(a) we see that $\mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{L}_1$ have the required property. This proves (i).

We show:

(j) *If* $w, w' \in \mathbf{W}$ *and* $w \neq w'$ *then* $({}^w\mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D}) : {}^{w'}\mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D})) = 0$.

It is enough to show: if $A \in \mathcal{D}^{cs}({}^wZ_{J,D}), A' \in \mathcal{D}^{cs}({}^{w'}Z_{J,D})$ then $p_!(i_{J,w!}A \otimes i_{J,w'!}A') = 0$ with p as in 36.4. It is enough to show that $(i_{J,w} \times i_{J,w'})_! f^*(A \boxtimes A') = 0$

where $f : Z_{J,D} \to Z_{J,D} \times Z_{J,D}$ is the diagonal map. This follows from the fact that ${}^wZ_{J,D} \cap ({}^{w'}Z_{J,D}) = \emptyset$.

We show:

(k) *If* $w \in {}^{\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W}$, $w \neq 1$ *then* $\tau({}^w\mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,G^0})) = 0$.

Since ${}^wZ_{J,G^0}, {}^1Z_{J,G^0}$ are disjoint, we have $i^*_{J,1}i_{J,w!} = 0$ hence $i^*i_{J,w!} = 0$ with i as in 36.4; (k) follows.

From the definitions we have

(l) $\partial({}^w\mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D})) = {}^{w^{-1}}\mathfrak{K}(Z_{\epsilon(J),D^{-1}})$

for any $w \in {}^{\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W}$ such that $\epsilon^{-1}(\mathrm{Ad}(w)J) = J$. (For such w we have $w^{-1} \in {}^J\mathbf{W}$.)

36.10. Let

$\tilde{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D}) = \sum_{L;L\subsetneq J} \mathfrak{f}_{L,J}(\mathfrak{K}(Z_{L,D}))$, $\mathfrak{K}_0 = \oplus_{w\in{}^{\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W};\epsilon^{-1}(\mathrm{Ad}(w)J)\neq J} {}^w\mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D})$.

We show:

$$\text{(a)} \qquad \tilde{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D}) = \mathfrak{K}_0 \oplus \oplus_{\substack{w\in{}^{\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W}\\ \epsilon^{-1}(\mathrm{Ad}(w)J)=J}} (\tilde{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D}) \cap {}^w\mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D})).$$

Setting

$\tilde{\mathfrak{K}}'(Z_{J,D}) = \sum_{L;L\subsetneq J} \mathfrak{f}'_{L,J}(\mathfrak{K}'(Z_{L,D}))$, $\mathfrak{K}'_0 = \oplus_{w\in{}^{\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W};\epsilon^{-1}(\mathrm{Ad}(w)J)\neq J} {}^w\mathfrak{K}'(Z_{J,D})$

and using 36.9(e), we see that it is enough to show:

$$\text{(b)} \qquad \tilde{\mathfrak{K}}'(Z_{J,D}) = \mathfrak{K}_0 \oplus \oplus_{\substack{w\in{}^{\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W}\\ \epsilon^{-1}(\mathrm{Ad}(w)J)=J}} (\tilde{\mathfrak{K}}'(Z_{J,D}) \cap {}^w\mathfrak{K}'(Z_{J,D})).$$

From 36.6(d), 36.6(e) we see that, if $w \in {}^{\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W}, \epsilon^{-1}(\mathrm{Ad}(w)J) \neq J$, then $A = \mathfrak{f}'_{J_\infty,J}A'$ for some $A' \in {}^y\mathfrak{K}'(Z_{L',D})$ and $J_\infty \neq J$. Hence

(c) $\mathfrak{K}'_0 \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{K}}'(Z_{J,D})$.

Using again 36.6(d), 36.6(e) and also 36.4(b) (or rather its analogue for $\mathfrak{f}'_{J,J'}$) and (c), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathfrak{K}}'(Z_{J,D}) &= \sum_{\substack{L;L\subsetneq J\\ y\in{}^{\epsilon(L)}\mathbf{W}\\ \epsilon^{-1}(\mathrm{Ad}(y)L)=L}} \mathfrak{f}'_{L,J}({}^y\mathfrak{K}'(Z_{L,D}))\\ &= \mathfrak{K}'_0 + \sum_{\substack{L;L\subsetneq J\\ y\in{}^{\epsilon(L)}\mathbf{W}\\ \epsilon^{-1}(\mathrm{Ad}(y)L)=L}} \mathfrak{f}'_{L,J}({}^y\mathfrak{K}'(Z_{L,D})).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, (b) holds and (a) holds.

Let

$$\bar{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D}) = \mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D})/\tilde{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D}).$$

For any $w \in {}^{\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W}$ such that $\epsilon^{-1}(\mathrm{Ad}(w)J) = J$, let ${}^w\bar{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D})$ be the image of ${}^w\mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D})$ under the obvious map $\mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D}) \to \bar{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D})$. From (a) we see that

$$\text{(d)} \qquad \bar{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D}) = \oplus_{\substack{w\in{}^{\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W}\\ \epsilon^{-1}(\mathrm{Ad}(w)J)=J}} {}^w\bar{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D}).$$

For any $\mathfrak{k} \in \mathbf{W}\backslash\underline{\mathfrak{s}}(\mathbf{T})$ let $\bar{\mathfrak{K}}^{\mathfrak{k}}(Z_{J,D})$ be the image of $\mathfrak{K}^{\mathfrak{k}}(Z_{J,D})$ under the obvious map $\mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D}) \to \bar{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D})$. From 36.9(d) we see that $\tilde{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D})$ is compatible with the decomposition 36.8(c). It follows that

(e) $$\bar{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D}) = \oplus_{\mathfrak{k}\in\mathbf{W}\backslash\underline{\mathfrak{s}}(\mathbf{T})}\bar{\mathfrak{K}}^{\mathfrak{k}}(Z_{J,D}).$$

Using 36.8(d) we see that that the decompositions (d),(e) are compatible in the sense that

(f) $$\bar{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D}) = \oplus_{w,\mathfrak{k}}({}^w\bar{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D}) \cap \bar{\mathfrak{K}}^{\mathfrak{k}}(Z_{J,D})).$$

Using 36.9(a), 36.9(c), we see that

(g) *$\mathfrak{D}, \beta_J, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}} : \mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D}) \to \mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D})$ map $\tilde{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D})$ into itself hence induces group homomorphisms $\bar{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D}) \to \bar{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D})$ denoted again by $\mathfrak{D}, \beta_J, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}$.*

36.11. For $J' \subset J \subset \mathbf{I}$ and $x \in \mathfrak{K}(Z_{J',D}), x' \in \mathfrak{K}(Z_{\epsilon(J),D'})$, we show:

(a) $$(\mathfrak{f}_{J',J}x) * x' = \mathfrak{f}_{J',J}(x * \mathfrak{e}_{\epsilon(J'),\epsilon(J)}x').$$

Using successively 36.4(h), 36.9(f), 36.4(i), 36.4(c), 36.9(f), 36.4(h), 36.4(i), we see that, for any $x'' \in \mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D'D})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&((\mathfrak{f}_{J',J}x) * x' : x'') = ((\partial\mathfrak{f}_{J',J}x) : x' * \partial x'')\\
&= (\mathfrak{f}_{\epsilon(J'),\epsilon(J)}\partial(x) : x' * (\partial x'')) = (\partial x : \mathfrak{e}_{\epsilon(J'),\epsilon(J)}(x' * (\partial x'')))\\
&= (\partial x : \mathfrak{e}_{\epsilon(J'),\epsilon(J)}(x') * \mathfrak{e}_{\epsilon(J'),\epsilon(J)}(\partial x''))) = (\partial x : \mathfrak{e}_{\epsilon(J'),\epsilon(J)}x' * \partial\mathfrak{e}_{J',J}x'')\\
&= (x * \mathfrak{e}_{\epsilon(J'),\epsilon(J)}x' : \mathfrak{e}_{J',J}x'') = (\mathfrak{f}_{J',J}(x * \mathfrak{e}_{\epsilon(J'),\epsilon(J)}x') : x'').
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $\xi = (\mathfrak{f}_{J',J}x) * x' - \mathfrak{f}_{J',J}(x * \mathfrak{e}_{\epsilon(J'),\epsilon(J)}x')$ then $(\xi : x'') = 0$ for any $x'' \in \mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D'D})$. In particular, $(\xi : \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}(\xi)) = 0$. Using 36.9(h) we see that $\xi = 0$. This proves (a).

A similar argument shows that, if $x \in \mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D}), x' \in \mathfrak{K}(Z_{\epsilon(J'),D'})$, then:

(b) $$x * (\mathfrak{f}_{\epsilon(J'),\epsilon(J)}x') = \mathfrak{f}_{J',J}(\mathfrak{e}_{J',J}x * x').$$

From (a),(b) we see that

$\tilde{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D}) * \mathfrak{K}(Z_{\epsilon(J),D'}) \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D'D})$, $\mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D}) * \tilde{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{\epsilon(J),D'}) \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D'D})$.

It follows that $\mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D}) \times \mathfrak{K}(Z_{\epsilon(J),D'}) \to \mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D'D})$, $A, B \mapsto A * B$, induces an $\mathcal{A}$-bilinear pairing $\bar{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D})\times\bar{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{\epsilon(J),D'}) \to \bar{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D'D})$. We denote it again by $A, B \mapsto A * B$. The following result relates this bilinear pairing to the decompositions of type 36.10(d).

For $w \in {}^{\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W}, w' \in {}^{\epsilon'\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W}$ such that $\epsilon^{-1}(\mathrm{Ad}(w)J) = J$, $\epsilon'^{-1}(\mathrm{Ad}(w')\epsilon(J)) = \epsilon(J)$, let $X_{w,w'}$ be the set of all $y \in {}^{\epsilon'\epsilon(J)}\mathbf{W}$ such that $\epsilon^{-1}\epsilon'^{-1}(\mathrm{Ad}(y)J) = J$ and such that for some $Q \in \mathcal{P}_J, Q' \in \mathcal{P}_{\epsilon(J)}, Q'' \in \mathcal{P}_{\epsilon'\epsilon(J)}$ we have

$\mathrm{pos}(Q', Q) = w, \mathrm{pos}(Q'', Q') = w', \mathrm{pos}(Q'', Q) = y$.

Then

(c) $${}^w\bar{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D}) * {}^{w'}\bar{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{\epsilon(J),D'}) \subset \oplus_{y\in X_{w,w'}}{}^y\bar{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D'D}).$$

Indeed, using 36.7(a) we see that it is enough to show:

If ${}^{w,w',y}Z_0 \neq \emptyset$ (notation of 36.7) then $y \in X_{w,w'}$.

This is immediate from definitions.

36.12. As a special case of the pairing $A, B \mapsto A * B$ in 36.11 we have an $\mathcal{A}$-bilinear pairing $\bar{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,G^0}) \times \bar{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,G^0}) \to \bar{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,G^0})$. This defines an associative algebra structure on $\bar{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,G^0})$ (not necessarily with 1).

Also as special cases of the pairing $A, B \mapsto A * B$ in 36.11 we have $\mathcal{A}$-bilinear pairings

$$\bar{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,G^0}) \times \bar{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D}) \to \bar{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D}), \bar{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D}) \times \bar{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{\epsilon(J),G^0}) \to \bar{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D}),$$

which make $\bar{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D})$ into a (not necessarily unital) $(\bar{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,G^0}), \bar{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{\epsilon(J),G^0}))$-bimodule.

By 36.10(f) we have

$$\bar{\mathfrak{K}}^{\heartsuit}(Z_{J,G^0}) = \oplus_{\substack{w \in \epsilon(J)\mathbf{W} \\ \epsilon^{-1}(\mathrm{Ad}(w)J)=J}} ({}^w\bar{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,G^0}) \cap \bar{\mathfrak{K}}^{\heartsuit}(Z_{J,G^0})).$$

From 36.10(g) we see that $\mathfrak{D}, \beta_J, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}$ may be regarded as group homomorphisms $\bar{\mathfrak{K}}^{\heartsuit}(Z_{J,G^0}) \to \bar{\mathfrak{K}}^{\heartsuit}(Z_{J,G^0})$.

36.13. For any $\mathcal{A}$-module V we set $\underline{V} = \mathbf{Q}(v) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} V$. If V, V' are $\mathcal{A}$-modules and $f : V \to V'$ is $\mathcal{A}$-linear we denote again by f the $\mathbf{Q}(v)$-linear map $\underline{V} \to \underline{V}'$ such that $1 \otimes x \mapsto 1 \otimes f(x)$ for $x \in V$.

In particular, the $\mathbf{Q}(v)$-vector spaces $\underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D})$, $\tilde{\underline{\mathfrak{K}}}(Z_{J,D})$ and $\bar{\underline{\mathfrak{K}}}(Z_{J,D})$ are defined. Note that

$\tilde{\underline{\mathfrak{K}}}(Z_{J,D}) = \sum_{L;L\subsetneq J} \mathfrak{f}_{L,J}(\underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{L,D}))$, $\underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D}) = \oplus_{\mathfrak{k}\in\mathbf{W}\backslash\underline{\mathfrak{s}}(\mathbf{T})}\underline{\mathfrak{K}}^{\mathfrak{k}}(Z_{J,D})$,
see 36.8(c).

The symmetric bilinear form $(:) : \mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D}) \times \mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D}) \to \mathcal{A}$ extends uniquely to a symmetric $\mathbf{Q}(v)$-bilinear form $\underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D}) \times \underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D}) \to \mathbf{Q}(v)$ denoted again by $(:)$.

A vector subspace E of $\underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D})$ is said to be *homogeneous* if $E = \sum_{\mathfrak{k}\in\mathbf{W}\backslash\underline{\mathfrak{s}}(\mathbf{T})} E^{\mathfrak{k}}$ where $E^{\mathfrak{k}} = E \cap \underline{\mathfrak{K}}^{\mathfrak{k}}(Z_{J,D})$. For such E we set $E^{\perp} = \{x \in \underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D}); (x : E) = 0\}$. Then $E^{\perp}$ is homogeneous. We show that if, in addition, E is stable under $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}$, then:

(a) $\underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D}) = E \oplus E^{\perp}$.

We first show that $E \cap E^{\perp} = 0$. Assume that $x \in E \cap E^{\perp}$. Then $\tilde{fD}(x) \in E$ and $(x : \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}(x)) = 0$. We can find $\lambda \in \mathcal{A} - \{0\}$ such that $\lambda x \in \mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,D})$. Then $(\lambda x, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}(\lambda x)) = 0$. By 36.9(h) we have $\lambda x = 0$. Hence $x = 0$ so that $E \cap E^{\perp} = 0$. It remains to show that $\dim(E^{\perp})^{\mathfrak{k}} + \dim E^{\mathfrak{k}} = \dim \underline{\mathfrak{K}}^{\mathfrak{k}}(Z_{J,D})$ for any $\mathfrak{k}$. This is a consequence of the following statement:

(b) *for any $\mathfrak{k}$, the form $(:)$ on the finite dimensional vector space $\underline{\mathfrak{K}}^{\mathfrak{k}}(Z_{J,D}) + \underline{\mathfrak{K}}^{\check{\mathfrak{k}}}(Z_{J,D})$ is non-singular.*

More generally we show that for any finite dimensional subspace E' of $\underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D})$ which is stable under $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}$, the form $(:)$ on E' is non-singular. Let $x \in E'$ be such that $(x : x') = 0$ for any $x' \in E'$. In particular we have $(x, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}(x)) = 0$. As above, this implies that $x = 0$. This proves (b) hence also (a).

We set

$$\underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D})^J = \{\xi \in \underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D}); \mathfrak{e}_{H,J}\xi = 0 \quad \forall H \subsetneq J\}.$$

We show:

(c) $$\tilde{\underline{\mathfrak{K}}}(Z_{J,D})^{\perp} = \underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D})^J.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\underline{\mathfrak{K}}}(Z_{J,D}) &= \{x \in \underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D}); (x : \mathfrak{f}_{H,J}\underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{H,D})) = 0 \quad \forall H \subsetneq J\}\\ &= \{\xi \in \underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D}); (\mathfrak{e}_{H,J}x : \underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{H,D})) = 0 \quad \forall H \subsetneq J\}\\ &= \{\xi \in \underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D}); \mathfrak{e}_{H,J}x = 0 \quad \forall H \subsetneq J\},\end{aligned}$$

as required. (We have used that $\underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{H,D})^{\perp} = 0$ which follows from (a), applied to H instead of J.) This proves (c).

We show:

(d) $$\underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D}) = \tilde{\underline{\mathfrak{K}}}(Z_{J,D}) \oplus \underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D})^J.$$

This follows from (a),(c) using the fact that $\tilde{\underline{\mathfrak{K}}}(Z_{J,D})$ is stable under $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}$, see 36.10(g).

From (d) we see that the second projection $\underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D}) \to \underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D})^J$ induces an isomorphism $\underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D})/\tilde{\underline{\mathfrak{K}}}(Z_{J,D}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D})^J$ that is, an isomorphism

(e) $$\bar{\underline{\mathfrak{K}}}(Z_{J,D}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D})^J.$$

36.14. The $\mathcal{A}$-algebra structure on $\mathfrak{K}(Z_{J,G^0})$ (resp. $\bar{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,G^0})$) given by $*$ extends to a $\mathbf{Q}(v)$-algebra structure on $\underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,G^0})$ (resp. $\bar{\underline{\mathfrak{K}}}(Z_{J,G^0})$) denoted again by $*$. Moreover, $\tilde{\underline{\mathfrak{K}}}(Z_{J,G^0})$ is a two-sided ideal of $\underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,G^0})$ (see 36.11). Note that $\underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D})^J$ is also a two-sided ideal of $\underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,G^0})$. This follows from the fact that $\mathfrak{e}_{H,J} : \underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,G^0}) \to \underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{H,G^0})$ is an algebra homomorphism for any $H \subset J$ (see 36.4(c)). Since the two summands in the right hand side of 36.13(d) are two-sided ideals, they annihilate each other under the product $*$. We see also that the isomorphism 36.13(e) respects the algebra structures.

From 36.9(f) we see that the two summands in the right hand side of 36.13(d) are stable under $\partial : \underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,G^0}) \to \underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,G^0})$ and from 36.4(h) we have

(a) $$(x * y : \partial(z)) = (\partial(x) : y * z)$$

for $x, y, z \in \underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,G^0})^J$.

Let $\mathcal{W} := \{w \in {}^J\mathbf{W}; \mathrm{Ad}(w)J = J\}$. We show:

(b) $$\underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,G^0})^J = \oplus_{w\in\mathcal{W}}\, {}^w\underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,G^0})^J$$

where ${}^w\underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,G^0})^J = {}^w\underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,G^0}) \cap \underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,G^0})^J$. Let $x \in \underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,G^0})^J$. By 36.8(b) we can write uniquely $x = \sum_{w\in{}^J\mathbf{W}} x_w$ where $x_w \in {}^w\underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,G^0})$. It is enough to show that $x_w \in \underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,G^0})^J$ (that is, $(y : x_w) = 0$ for any $y \in \tilde{\underline{\mathfrak{K}}}(Z_{J,G^0})$) for all w

and $x_w = 0$ (that is, $(y' : x_w) = 0$ for any $y' \in \underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,G^0})$) if $\mathrm{Ad}(w)J \neq J$. For x_w, y as above we have $y = \sum_{w' \in {}^J\mathbf{W}} y_{w'}$ with $y_{w'} \in {}^{w'}\underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,G^0}) \cap \tilde{\underline{\mathfrak{K}}}(Z_{J,G^0})$, see 36.10(a). Using 36.9(j) twice, we have $(y : x_w) = (y_w : x_w) = (y_w : x) = 0$, as required. Now assume that $w \in {}^J\mathbf{W}$, $\mathrm{Ad}(w)J \neq J$ and $y' \in \underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,G^0})$. By 36.8(b) we have $y' = \sum_{w' \in {}^J\mathbf{W}} y'_{w'}$ with $y'_{w'} \in {}^{w'}\underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,G^0}$; moreover, by 36.10(a) we have $y'_w \in \tilde{\underline{\mathfrak{K}}}(Z_{J,G^0})$. Using 36.9(j) twice, we have $(y' : x_w) = (y'_w : x_w) = (y'_w : x) = 0$, as required. This proves (b).

From definitions we see that

(c) *the decomposition (b) corresponds under 36.13(e) to the decomposition* $\bar{\underline{\mathfrak{K}}}(Z_{J,G^0}) = \oplus_{w \in \mathcal{W}} {}^w\bar{\underline{\mathfrak{K}}}(Z_{J,G^0})$ *(see 36.10(d)).*

Under the isomorphism 36.13(e), the involution $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}} : \bar{\underline{\mathfrak{K}}}(Z_{J,D}) \to \bar{\underline{\mathfrak{K}}}(Z_{J,D})$ corresponds to an involution $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}' : \underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D})^J \to \underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D})^J$; this is related to the involution $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}} : \underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D}) \to \underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D})$ by $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}'x = \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}x \mod \tilde{\underline{\mathfrak{K}}}(Z_{J,D}$ for $x \in \underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D})^J$. Hence for $x, x' \in \underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D})^J$ we have

$(\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}'x : x') = (\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}x : x')$.

Using this and 36.9(h) we see that:

(d) *if* $x \in \underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D})^J$ *satisfies* $(x : \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}x) = 0$ *then* $x = 0$.

Writing $\heartsuit$ instead of $\{\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l\} \subset \underline{\mathfrak{s}}(\mathbf{T})$ we see that $\underline{\mathfrak{K}}^\heartsuit(Z_{J,G^0})$ is a subalgebra of $\underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,G^0})$. Hence

$$\underline{\mathfrak{K}}^J := \underline{\mathfrak{K}}^\heartsuit(Z_{J,D}) \cap \underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D})^J$$

is a subalgebra of $\underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D})^J$. From (b) and 36.8(d) we see that

$$\underline{\mathfrak{K}}^J = \oplus_{w \in \mathcal{W}} {}^w\underline{\mathfrak{K}}^J \tag{e}$$

where ${}^w\underline{\mathfrak{K}}^J = {}^w\underline{\mathfrak{K}}^\heartsuit(Z_{J,G^0}) \cap \underline{\mathfrak{K}}^J$. Now $\partial : \underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,G^0})^J \to \underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,G^0})^J$ leaves $\underline{\mathfrak{K}}^J$ stable. Moreover, for $w \in \mathcal{W}$ we have

$$\partial({}^w\underline{\mathfrak{K}}^J) = {}^{w^{-1}}\underline{\mathfrak{K}}^J. \tag{f}$$

(see 36.9(l)).

Note that $\mathcal{W}$ is the same as the set of all $w \in \mathbf{W}$ such that the corresponding permutation of the set of roots leaves stable the set of simple roots corresponding to elements of J. Hence $\mathcal{W}$ is a subgroup of $\mathbf{W}$.

36.15. By 36.4(f) we have $(x : x') = (x' : x) = \tau(x * \partial(x'))$ for $x, x' \in \underline{\mathfrak{K}}^J$. By 36.13(b), the bilinear form (:) is non-degenerate on (the finite dimensional vector space) $\underline{\mathfrak{K}}^J$. Hence there is a unique vector $x_0 \in \underline{\mathfrak{K}}^J$ such that $(x_0 : x) = \tau(x)$ for all $x \in \underline{\mathfrak{K}}^J$. Hence for $x, x' \in \underline{\mathfrak{K}}^J$ we have $(x_0 : x * \partial(x')) = \tau(x * \partial(x')) = (x : x')$. Using 36.14(a) we rewrite this as $(\partial(x_0) * x : x') = (x : x')$. (We use also that $\partial^2 = 1$ on $\underline{\mathfrak{K}}^J$.) Using the non-degeneracy of (:) on $\underline{\mathfrak{K}}^J$ we deduce $\partial(x_0) * x = x$ for all $x \in \underline{\mathfrak{K}}^J$. For $x, x' \in \underline{\mathfrak{K}}^J$ we have also $(\partial(x) * x' : x_0) = \tau(\partial(x) * x') = (\partial(x) : \partial(x')) = (x : x')$ (we use 36.4(g)). Using 36.14(a) we rewrite this as

$(x : x' * \partial(x_0)) = (x : x')$. Using the non-degeneracy of (:) on $\underline{\mathfrak{K}}^J$ we deduce $x' * \partial(x_0) = x'$ for all $x' \in \underline{\mathfrak{K}}^J$. We see that *the algebra* $\underline{\mathfrak{K}}^J$ *has a unit element, namely* $\mathbf{1} = \partial(x_0)$. By 36.14(e) we have $x_0 = \sum_{w\in\mathcal{W}} x_0^w$ where $x_0^w \in {}^w\underline{\mathfrak{K}}^J$. For any $x \in \underline{\mathfrak{K}}^J$ we have similarly $x = \sum_{w\in\mathcal{W}} x^w$ where $x^w \in {}^w\underline{\mathfrak{K}}^J$. From 36.9(k) we see that $\tau(x) = \tau(x^1)$. Hence $(x_0 : x^1) = \tau(x^1) = \tau(x) = (x_0 : x)$. Using 36.9(j) we see that $(x_0 : x^1) = (x_0^1 : x^1) = (x_0^1 : x)$ hence $(x_0^1 : x) = (x_0 : x)$. Using the non-degeneracy of (:) on $\underline{\mathfrak{K}}^J$ we deduce $x_0 = x_0^1$ that is $x_0 \in {}^1\underline{\mathfrak{K}}^J$. Using 36.14(f) we deduce that $\mathbf{1} \in {}^1\underline{\mathfrak{K}}^J$.

36.16. We preserve the setup of 36.14, 36.15. In this subsection we assume that $G = G^0$ is a symplectic group $Sp_{2n}(\mathbf{k})$ $(n \geq 1)$ and we describe in this case the structure of the algebra $\underline{\mathfrak{K}}^J$. (The proofs, which depend on results in this and future Sections, will be given elsewhere.) We have $\mathbf{I} = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$ where $s_i s_{i+1}$ has order 3 if $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-2$ and order 4 if $i = n-1$; we have $s_i s_j = s_j s_i$ if $|i - j| \geq 2$.

(i) *We have* $\underline{\mathfrak{K}}^J = 0$ *unless*

(∗) $J = \{s_{k+1}, s_{k+2}, \dots, s_n\}$ *with* $0 \leq k \leq n$ *such that* $n - k = a^2 + a$ *for some* $a \in \mathbf{N}$.

Now assume that (∗) holds. Then $\mathcal{W}$ is a Weyl group of type B_k with standard generators $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k$ where $\sigma_i = s_i$ for $1 \leq i < k$ and σ_k is the unique element in the subgroup of $\mathbf{W}$ generated by $s_k, s_{k+1}, \dots, s_n$ such that $\sigma_k \in \mathcal{W} - \{1\}$ (if $k \geq 1$). Let $\tilde{l} : \mathcal{W} \to \mathbf{N}$ be the length function of the Weyl group $\mathcal{W}$.

(ii) *For any* $w \in \mathcal{W}$ *we have* $\dim({}^w\underline{\mathfrak{K}}^J) = 1$.

(iii) *For any* $i \in [1, k]$ *there is a unique element* $x \in {}^{\sigma_i}\underline{\mathfrak{K}}^J - \{0\}$ *such that* $(x + \mathbf{1}) * (x - c\mathbf{1}) = 0$ *for some* $c \in v\mathbf{Z}[v]$*; in fact we have* $c = v^2$ *if* $1 \leq i < k$ *and* $c = v^{4a+2}$ *if* $i = k$. *We set* $x = t_i$.

(iv) *For any* $w \in \mathcal{W}$ *there is a unique element* $t_w \in {}^w\underline{\mathfrak{K}}^J - \{0\}$ *such that the following hold:* $t_{\sigma_i} = t_i$ *for* $i \in [1, k]$*;* $t_w * t_{w'} = t_{w'w}$ *if* $w, w' \in \mathcal{W}$, $\tilde{l}(w'w) = \tilde{l}(w) + \tilde{l}(w')$.

We see that $\underline{\mathfrak{K}}^J$ is an Iwahori-Hecke algebra with not necessarily equal parameters. Similar results hold for other classical groups.

37. A Mackey type formula

37.1. We fix a connected component D of G. With notation in 26.1, if $J, J' \subset \mathbf{I}, P \in \mathcal{P}_J, Q \in \mathcal{P}_{J'}, u = \mathrm{pos}(P, Q) \in \mathbf{W}$, then $u \in {}^J\mathbf{W}^{J'}$. Setting $P^Q = (P \cap Q)U_P$, we have $P^Q \in \mathcal{P}_{J\cap \mathrm{Ad}(u)J'}$.

For $K, K' \subset \mathbf{I}$ and $u \in {}^K\mathbf{W}^{K'}$ let

$$\begin{aligned}\Upsilon_u =\{&(X, Y, g(U_X \cap U_Y)); X \in \mathcal{P}_{K\cap \mathrm{Ad}(u)K'}, Y \in \mathcal{P}_{K'\cap \mathrm{Ad}(u^{-1})K},\\ &g(U_X \cap U_Y) \in D/(U_X \cap U_Y), \mathrm{pos}(X, Y) = u\}.\end{aligned}$$

We have a diagram

$$Z_{K\cap \mathrm{Ad}(u)K',D} \xleftarrow{\mathfrak{j}} \Upsilon_u \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{h}} Z_{K'\cap \mathrm{Ad}(u^{-1})K,D}$$

where $\mathfrak{j}(X,Y,g(U_X\cap U_Y))=(X,gU_X), \mathfrak{h}(X,Y,g(U_X\cap U_Y))=(Y,gU_Y)$. Set

$$\Phi_u=\mathfrak{h}_!\mathfrak{j}^*:\mathcal{D}(Z_{K\cap \mathrm{Ad}(u)K',D})\to\mathcal{D}(Z_{K'\cap \mathrm{Ad}(u^{-1})K,D}).$$

Proposition 37.2. *Let $K,K',J\subset\mathbf{I}$ be such that $K\subset J, K'\subset J$. Let $A'\in\mathcal{D}(Z_{K,D})$. We set $\mathfrak{B}=\mathfrak{e}_{K',J}\mathfrak{f}_{K,J}A'\in\mathcal{D}(Z_{K',D})$. For any $u\in {}^K\mathbf{W}^{K'}\cap\mathbf{W}_J$ we set $\mathfrak{B}_u=\mathfrak{f}_{K'\cap \mathrm{Ad}(u^{-1})K,K'}\Phi_u\mathfrak{e}_{K\cap \mathrm{Ad}(u)K',K}A'\in\mathcal{D}(Z_{K',D})$ and $m_u=\dim(U_P\cap U_R)/U_Q$ where $P\in\mathcal{P}_K, R\in\mathcal{P}_{K'}$, $\mathrm{pos}(P,R)=u$ and $Q=Q_{J,P}=Q_{J,R}\in\mathcal{P}_J$ (notation of 36.4). We have*

$$\mathfrak{B}\fallingdotseq\{\mathfrak{B}_u[[-m_u]];u\in {}^K\mathbf{W}^{K'}\cap\mathbf{W}_J\},$$

with $\fallingdotseq$ as in 32.15.

We have a commutative diagram with a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \mathfrak{E} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{b}} & Z_{K',J,D} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{c}'} Z_{K',D}\\
 & & \mathfrak{a}\downarrow & & \mathfrak{d}'\downarrow\\
Z_{K,D} & \xleftarrow{\mathfrak{c}} & Z_{K,J,D} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{d}} & Z_{J,D}
\end{array}$$

Here

$\mathfrak{E}=\{(P,R,gU_Q);P\in\mathcal{P}_K,R\in\mathcal{P}_{K'},gU_Q\in D/U_Q,Q=Q_{J,P}=Q_{J,R}\}$,
$\mathfrak{c}(P,gU_Q)=(P,gU_P)$, $\mathfrak{d}(P,gU_Q)=(Q,gU_Q)$ with $Q=Q_{J,P}$,
$\mathfrak{c}'(R,gU_Q)=(R,gU_R)$, $\mathfrak{d}'(R,gU_Q)=(Q,gU_Q)$ with $Q=Q_{J,R}$,
$\mathfrak{a}(P,R,gU_Q)=(P,gU_Q), \mathfrak{b}(P,R,gU_Q)=(R,gU_Q)$.

We have

$$\mathfrak{B}=\mathfrak{c}'_!\mathfrak{d}'^*\mathfrak{d}_!\mathfrak{c}^*A'=\mathfrak{c}'_!\mathfrak{b}_!\mathfrak{a}^*\mathfrak{c}^*A'=(\mathfrak{c}'\mathfrak{b})_!(\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{a})^*A'=\mathfrak{q}_!\mathfrak{p}^*A'$$

where $\mathfrak{q}=\mathfrak{c}'\mathfrak{b}:\mathfrak{E}\to Z_{K',D}, \mathfrak{p}=\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{a}:\mathfrak{E}\to Z_{K,D}$ are given by $\mathfrak{q}(P,R,gU_Q)=(R,gU_R)$, $\mathfrak{p}(P,R,gU_Q)=(P,gU_P)$. We have a partition

$$\mathfrak{E}=\sqcup_{u\in {}^K\mathbf{W}^{K'}\cap\mathbf{W}_J}\mathfrak{E}_u$$

where $\mathfrak{E}_u=\{(P,R,gU_Q)\in\mathfrak{E};\mathrm{pos}(P,R)=u\}$ is locally closed in $\mathfrak{E}$. Let $\mathfrak{p}_u=\mathfrak{p}|_{\mathfrak{E}_u}:\mathfrak{E}_u\to Z_{K,D}, \mathfrak{q}_u=\mathfrak{q}|_{\mathfrak{E}_u}:\mathfrak{E}_u\to Z_{K'}$. By 32.15, we have

$$\mathfrak{q}_!\mathfrak{p}^*A'\fallingdotseq\{\mathfrak{q}_{u!}\mathfrak{p}_u^*A';u\in {}^K\mathbf{W}^{K'}\cap\mathbf{W}_J\}.$$

It remains to show that, for u as above, we have

$$\mathfrak{q}_{u!}\mathfrak{p}_u^*A'=\mathfrak{B}_u[[-m_u]].$$

We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
Z_{K,D} & \xleftarrow{\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}} & Z_{K\cap \mathrm{Ad}(u)K',K,D} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}} & Z_{K\cap \mathrm{Ad}(u)K',D} & & \\
 & & \mathfrak{a}\uparrow & & \mathfrak{j}\uparrow & & \\
 & & \mathfrak{S}'_u & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathfrak{b}}} & \Upsilon_u & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{h}} & Z_{K'\cap \mathrm{Ad}(u^{-1})K,D} \\
 & & \mathfrak{x}\uparrow & & & & \tilde{\mathfrak{c}}'\uparrow \\
 & & \mathfrak{S}_u & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{y}} & & \longrightarrow & Z_{K'\cap \mathrm{Ad}(u^{-1})K,K',D} \\
 & & & & & & \tilde{\mathfrak{d}}'\downarrow \\
 & & & & & & Z_{K',D}
\end{array}$$

Here

$\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}(X,gU_P)=(X,gU_X), \tilde{\mathfrak{d}}(X,gU_P)=(P,gU_P)$,

$\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}'(Y,gU_R)=(Y,gU_Y), \tilde{\mathfrak{d}}'(Y,gU_R)=(R,gU_R)$,

$\mathfrak{S}'_u, \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{b}}$ are defined so that the square $(\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{b}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{c}}, \mathfrak{j})$ is cartesian;

$\mathfrak{S}_u, \mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}$ are defined so that the square $(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{h}\tilde{\mathfrak{b}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{c}}')$ is cartesian.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{S}_u =&\{(X,Y,g(U_X\cap U_Y),g'U_P,g''U_R); X\in \mathcal{P}_{K\cap \mathrm{Ad}(u)K'}, Y\in \mathcal{P}_{K'\cap \mathrm{Ad}(u^{-1})K},\\
&g(U_X\cap U_Y)\in D/(U_X\cap U_Y), g'U_P\in D/U_P, g''U_R\in D/U_R, \mathrm{pos}(X,Y)=u\\
&, P=Q_{K,X}, R=Q_{K',Y}, g'U_X=gU_X, g''U_Y=gU_Y\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Set $\mathfrak{r}=\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}'\mathfrak{y}:\mathfrak{S}_u\to Z_{K',D}, \mathfrak{s}=\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}\mathfrak{x}:\mathfrak{S}_u\to Z_{K,D}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{r}(X,Y,g(U_X\cap U_Y),g'U_P,g''U_R)=(P,g'U_P),\\
\mathfrak{s}(X,Y,g(U_X\cap U_Y),g'U_P,g''U_R)=(R,g''U_R).
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathfrak{B}_u=\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}'_!\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}'^*\mathfrak{h}_!\mathfrak{j}^*\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}_!\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}^*A'=\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}'_!\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}'^*\mathfrak{h}_!\tilde{\mathfrak{b}}_!\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^*\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}^*A'\\
&=\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}'_!\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}'^*(\mathfrak{h}\tilde{\mathfrak{b}})_!(\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}\tilde{\mathfrak{a}})^*A'=\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}'_!\mathfrak{y}_!\mathfrak{x}^*(\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}\tilde{\mathfrak{a}})^*A'=(\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}'\mathfrak{y})_!(\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}\mathfrak{x})^*A'=\mathfrak{r}_!\mathfrak{s}^*A'.
\end{aligned}$$

We show that $\mathfrak{q}_{u!}\mathfrak{p}_u^*A'=\mathfrak{r}_!\mathfrak{s}^*A'[[-m_u]]$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
Z_{K,D} & \xleftarrow{\mathfrak{p}_u} & \mathfrak{E}_u & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{q}_u} & Z_{K',D} \\
1\downarrow & & \mathfrak{t}\downarrow & & 1\downarrow \\
Z_{K,D} & \xleftarrow{\mathfrak{s}} & \mathfrak{S}_u & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{r}} & Z_{K',D}
\end{array}$$

where $\mathfrak{t}(P,R,gU_Q)=(P^R,R^P,g(U_{P^R}\cap U_{R^P}),gU_P,gU_R)$ is well defined since $U_Q\subset U_P, U_Q\subset U_R, U_Q\subset U_{P^R}, U_Q\subset U_{R^P}$. We continue the proof assuming that

(a) $\mathfrak{t}$ *is an affine space bundle with fibres of dimension* m_u.

For any $\tilde{A}\in\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{S}_u)$ we have $\mathfrak{t}_!\mathfrak{t}^*(\tilde{A})=\tilde{A}[[-m_u]]$. Hence

$$\mathfrak{r}_!\mathfrak{s}^*A'[[-m_u]]=\mathfrak{r}_!\mathfrak{t}_!\mathfrak{t}^*\mathfrak{s}^*A'=(\mathfrak{r}\mathfrak{t})_!(\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{t})^*A'=\mathfrak{q}_{u!}\mathfrak{p}_u^*A',$$

as required.

We prove (a). We only show that each fibre of $\mathfrak{t}$ is an affine space of dimension m_u. First we show that $\mathfrak{t}:\mathfrak{E}_u\to\mathfrak{S}_u$ is surjective. Assume that we are given $(X,Y,g_0(U_X\cap U_Y),g_0'U_P,g_0''U_R)\in\mathfrak{S}_u$. Then $g_0,g_0',g_0''\in D$, $v'=g_0^{-1}g_0'\in U_X$, $v''=g_0^{-1}g_0''\in U_Y$. We must show that there exists $g\in D$ such that $g_0\in g(U_X\cap U_Y), g_0'\in gU_P$, $g_0''\in gU_R$. Setting $y=g^{-1}g_0$, we must show that there exists $y\in U_X\cap U_Y$ such that $yv'\in U_P, yv''\in U_R$. We have $v'=v_1'v_2'$ where $v_1'\in U_R\cap P$, $v_2'\in U_P$ and $v''=v_1''v_2''$ where $v_1''\in U_P\cap R$, $v_2''\in U_R$. Then $v_1'\in U_X\cap U_Y, v_1''\in U_X\cap U_Y$. Setting $y=(v_1'v_1'')^{-1}\in U_X\cap U_Y$ we have $yv'=v_1''^{-1}v_2'\in U_P$ and $yv''=v_1''^{-1}v_1'^{-1}v_1''v_2''\in v_1''^{-1}U_Rv_1''U_R=U_R$, as desired.

It remains to show that, if $(P,R,gU_Q)\in\mathfrak{E}_u$, then

(b) $F=\{(P',R',g'U_{Q'})\in E_u;\mathfrak{t}(P',R',g'U_{Q'})=\mathfrak{t}(P,R,gU_Q)\}$ *is an affine space of dimension* m_u.

For $(P',R',g'U_{Q'})\in F$, both P,P' contain $P^R=(P')^{R'}$ and have the same type hence $P=P'$. Similarly $R=R', Q=Q'$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}F&\cong\{g'U_Q;gU_P=g'U_P,gU_R=g'U_R,g(U_{P^R}\cap U_{R^P})=g'(U_{P^R}\cap U_{R^P})\}\\&=\{g'U_Q;g^{-1}g'\in U_P\cap U_R\cap U_{P^R}\cap U_{R^P}\}\\&=\{g'U_Q;g^{-1}g'\in U_P\cap U_R\}\cong(U_P\cap U_R)/U_Q,\end{aligned}$$

and (b) follows. This completes the proof.

37.3. In the remainder of this section we assume that we are in the setup of 36.8. From 37.2 we deduce that for $K,K',J\subset\mathbf{I}$ such that $K\subset J, K'\subset J$ we have

$$\mathfrak{e}_{K',J}\mathfrak{f}_{K,J}=\sum_{u\in{}^K\mathbf{W}^{K'}\cap\mathbf{W}_J}v^{m_u}\mathfrak{f}_{K'\cap\mathrm{Ad}(u^{-1})K,K'}\Phi_u\mathfrak{e}_{K\cap\mathrm{Ad}(u)K',K}$$

as $\mathcal{A}$-linear maps $\mathfrak{K}(Z_{K,D})\to\mathfrak{K}(Z_{K',D})$, where the $\mathcal{A}$-linear map

$\mathfrak{K}(Z_{K\cap\mathrm{Ad}(u)K',D})\to\mathfrak{K}(Z_{K'\cap\mathrm{Ad}(u^{-1})K,D})$

induced by Φ_u in 37.1 is denoted again by Φ_u.

37.4. Let $K,K'\subset J$ and let $x\in\mathfrak{K}(Z_{K,D})^K, x'\in\mathfrak{K}(Z_{K',D})^{K'}$. We show:

$$\text{(a)}\qquad(\mathfrak{f}_{K,J}x:\mathfrak{f}_{K',J}x')=\sum_{u\in{}^K\mathbf{W}^{K'}\cap\mathbf{W}_J;K=\mathrm{Ad}(u)K'}v^{m(u)}(\Phi_ux:x').$$

Here $m(u), \Phi_u$ are as in 37.3; in our case, $\Phi_u : \mathfrak{K}(Z_{K,D}) \to \mathfrak{K}(Z_{K',D})$. Using 37.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\mathfrak{f}_{K,J}x : \mathfrak{f}_{K',J}x') = (\mathfrak{e}_{K',J}\mathfrak{f}_{K,J}x : x') \\
&= (\sum_{u\in {}^K\mathbf{W}^{K'}\cap\mathbf{W}_J} v^{m_u}\mathfrak{f}_{K'\cap \mathrm{Ad}(u^{-1})K,K'}\Phi_u\mathfrak{e}_{K\cap\mathrm{Ad}(u)K',K}x : x') \\
&= \sum_{u\in {}^K\mathbf{W}^{K'}\cap\mathbf{W}_J} v^{m_u}(\Phi_u\mathfrak{e}_{K\cap\mathrm{Ad}(u)K',K}x : \mathfrak{e}_{K'\cap\mathrm{Ad}(u^{-1})K,K'}x') \\
&= \sum_{u\in {}^K\mathbf{W}^{K'}\cap\mathbf{W}_J; K\cap\mathrm{Ad}(u)K'=K, K'\cap\mathrm{Ad}(u^{-1})K=K'} v^{m_u}(\Phi_u x : x').
\end{aligned}$$

The condition that $K\cap\mathrm{Ad}(u)K' = K, K'\cap\mathrm{Ad}(u^{-1})K = K'$ is equivalent to $K\subset\mathrm{Ad}(u)K'$, $K'\subset\mathrm{Ad}(u^{-1})K$ that is, to $K = \mathrm{Ad}(u)K'$. This proves (a).

Consider the equivalence relation on the set of subsets of J given by $K_1\sim K_2$ if $\mathrm{Ad}(u)K_1 = K_2$ for some $u\in\mathbf{W}_J$. For any equivalence class $\mathfrak{o}$ under $\sim$ we set

$$\underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D})^{\mathfrak{o}} = \sum_{H\subset J; H\in\mathfrak{o}} f_{H,J}(\underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{H,D})^H) \subset \underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D}).$$

Note that $\underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D})^{\mathfrak{o}}$ is homogeneous.

Proposition 37.5. *We have*

$$\underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D}) = \oplus_{\mathfrak{o}}\underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D})^{\mathfrak{o}} \tag{a}$$

where $\mathfrak{o}$ runs over the equivalence classes for $\sim$.

If $J=\emptyset$ we have $\underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D}) = \underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D})^J$ and the result is obvious. We may assume that $J\neq\emptyset$ and that the result is true when J is replaced by a strictly smaller subset. Using 36.13(d) and the induction hypothesis we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D}) = \underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D})^J + \sum_{L\subsetneq J}\mathfrak{f}_{L,J}\sum_{J';J'\subset L}\mathfrak{f}_{J',L}\underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J',D})^{J'} \\
&\subset \sum_{J';J'\subset J}\mathfrak{f}_{J',J}\underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J',D})^{J'}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D}) = \sum_{\mathfrak{o}}\underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D})^{\mathfrak{o}}$. Next we show that $(\underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D})^{\mathfrak{o}} : \underline{\mathfrak{K}}(Z_{J,D})^{\mathfrak{o}'}) = 0$ if $\mathfrak{o}\neq\mathfrak{o}'$. It is enough to show that $(\mathfrak{f}_{H,J}(\mathfrak{K}(Z_{H,D})^H) : \mathfrak{f}_{H',J}(\mathfrak{K}(Z_{H',D})^{H'})) = 0$ if $H, H'\subset J$, $H\not\sim H'$. This follows from 37.4(a). It remains to use the following (easily verified) statement: if V is a finite dimensional vector space with a non-singular symmetric bilinear form (:) and $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k$ are subspaces such that $(V_i : V_j) = 0$ for $i\neq j$ and $\sum_i V_i = V$ then $V = \oplus_i V_i$.

38. Duality

38.1. We fix a connected component D of G that generates G. In this section we study an involution of the set of isomorphism classes of character sheaves on D called *duality*.

We write ϵ instead of $\epsilon_D : \mathbf{W} \to \mathbf{W}$ (see 26.2). For $J \subset \mathbf{I}$ such that $\epsilon(J) = J$ we set, as in 30.3:

$$V_{J,D} = \{(P, gU_P); P \in \mathcal{P}_J, gU_P \in N_D P/U_P\}.$$

We also set $\mathbf{W}_J^\epsilon = \{w \in \mathbf{W}_J; \epsilon(w) = w\}$ where $\mathbf{W}_J$ is as in 26.1. Let J_ϵ be the set of orbits of the restriction of ϵ to J.

For any $P_0 \in \mathcal{P}_J$ we have a functor $A_0 \mapsto A_0^\flat$ (see 30.3) from the category of P_0/U_{P_0}-equivariant perverse sheaves on the connected component $N_D P_0/U_{P_0}$ of $N_G P_0/U_{P_0}$ to the category of perverse sheaves on $V_{J,D}$.

Let $CS(V_{J,D})$ be the full subcategory of the category of perverse sheaves on $V_{J,D}$ whose objects are isomorphic to objects of the form $A_0^\flat$ where A_0 is a direct sum of character sheaves on $N_D P_0/U_{P_0}$. In particular, $CS(V_{\mathbf{I},D})$ (denoted also by $CS(D)$) is the category of perverse sheaves on D that are direct sums of character sheaves. Note that $A_0 \mapsto A_0^\flat$ is an equivalence of categories $CS(N_D P_0/U_{P_0}) \xrightarrow{\sim} CS(V_{J,D})$.

For $J \subset J' \subset \mathbf{I}$ such that $\epsilon(J) = J, \epsilon(J') = J'$ we have functors $f_{J,J'} : \mathcal{D}(V_{J,D}) \to \mathcal{D}(V_{J',D})$ and $e_{J,J'} : \mathcal{D}(V_{J',D}) \to \mathcal{D}(V_{J,D})$, see 30.4. From definitions, for $J \subset J' \subset J'' \subset \mathbf{I}$ such that $\epsilon(J) = J, \epsilon(J') = J', \epsilon(J'') = J''$, we have

$$f_{J,J''} = f_{J',J''} f_{J,J'}, \quad e_{J,J''} = e_{J,J'} e_{J',J''}. \tag{a}$$

Clearly, $f_{J,J} = 1, e_{J,J} = 1$.

38.2. We show that:

(a) *for $J \subset J'$ as above, $e_{J,J'}$ restricts to a functor $CS(V_{J',D}) \to CS(V_{J,D})$ denoted again by $e_{J,J'}$.*

Let $P \in \mathcal{P}_J, P' \in \mathcal{P}_{J'}$ be such that $P \subset P'$. Let $D_0 = N_D P/U_P$, $D_0' = N_D P'/U_{P'}$. Let $C_0 \in CS(D_0')$ and let $C = C_0^\flat$ be the corresponding object of $CS(V_{J',D})$. From 31.14 we see that $\mathrm{res}_{D_0'}^{D_0}(C_0) \in CS(D_0)$ and from 30.4(b) we see that $e_{J,J'}C$ is the perverse sheaf $(\mathrm{res}_{D_0'}^{D_0}(C_0))^\flat$ on $V_{J,D}$ hence $e_{J,J'}C \in CS(V_{J,D})$. This proves (a).

38.3. We show that:

(a) *for $J \subset J'$ as above, $f_{J,J'}$ restricts to a functor $CS(V_{J,D}) \to CS(V_{J',D})$ denoted again by $f_{J,J'}$.*

Let P, P', D_0, D_0' be as in 38.2. Let $A_0 \in CS(D_0)$ and let $A = A_0^\flat$ be the corresponding object of $CS(V_{J,D})$. By 30.4(a), $f_{J,J'}A = A_0'^\flat$ where $A_0' = \mathrm{ind}_{D_0}^{D_0'} A_0$ is a direct sum of simple admissible perverse sheaves on D_0'. It remains to show that $A_0' \in CS(D_0')$. To do this we may assume that $J' = \mathbf{I}$ hence $P' = G^0, D_0' = D$. Let $\alpha = \dim U_P$. Let L be a Levi of P. We can identify naturally $N_G P/U_P$ with

$H = N_G P \cap N_G L$, a reductive group with $H^0 = L$. Then D_0 becomes $H \cap D$. We identify the canonical torus of L with the canonical torus $\mathbf{T}$ of G^0, and the Weyl group of L with the subgroup $\mathbf{W}_J$ of $\mathbf{W}$ as in 29.1. Let $\mathcal{L} \in \mathfrak{s}(\mathbf{T})$. Let $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r)$ be a sequence in $J \cup \{1\}$ such that $s_1 s_2 \dots s_r \underline{D} \in \mathbf{W}^\bullet_{\mathcal{L}}$ (see 28.3). Let $\bar{Z}^{\mathbf{s}}_{\emptyset,\mathbf{I},D}$, $\bar{K}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}_D \in \mathcal{D}(D)$ be as in 28.12, $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ as in 28.9, and let $\bar{Z}^{\mathbf{s}}_{\emptyset,J,D_0}$, $\bar{K}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}_{D_0} \in \mathcal{D}(D_0)$, $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_0$, be the analogous objects defined in terms of H, D_0 instead of G, D. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\bar{Z}^{\mathbf{s}}_{\emptyset,J,D_0} & \xleftarrow{pr_2} & V_1 \times_{D_0} \bar{Z}^{\mathbf{s}}_{\emptyset,J,D_0} & \xrightarrow{f_0} & \bar{Z}^{\mathbf{s}}_{\emptyset,\mathbf{I},D} & & \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle f_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle pr_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_2} & & \\
D_0 & \xleftarrow{a_1} & V_1 & \xrightarrow{a'} & V_2 & \xrightarrow{a''} & D
\end{array}$$

where

$V_1 = \{(g, x) \in D \times G^0; x^{-1} g x \in N_D P\}$,
$V_2 = \{(g, xP) \in D \times G^0/P; x^{-1} g x \in N_D P\}$,
$a_1(g, x) = g'$ where $g_0 \in D_0$ is such that $x^{-1} g x \in g_0 U_P$,
$a'(g, x) = (g, xP), a''(g, xP) = g$, $f_1(\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_r, g_0) = g_0$,
$f_2(B_0, B_1, \dots, B_r, g) = (g, x_0 P)$ where $x_0 \in G^0$ is such that $x_0^{-1} B_0 x_0 \subset P$,
$f_0((g, x), (\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_r, g_0)) = (x\beta_0 U_P x^{-1}, x\beta_1 U_P x^{-1}, \dots, x\beta_r U_P x^{-1}, g)$.

Both squares in the diagram are cartesian and the maps a', f_0 (resp. a_1, pr_2) are smooth with connected fibres of dimension $\dim G - \alpha$ (resp. $\dim G + \alpha$). From definitions we have $pr_2^* \bar{\mathcal{L}}' = f_0^* \bar{\mathcal{L}}$. Hence

$$a_1^*(\bar{K}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}_{D_0}) = a_1^* f_{1!} \bar{\mathcal{L}}_0 = pr_{1!} pr_2^* \bar{\mathcal{L}}_0 = pr_{1!} f_0^* \bar{\mathcal{L}} = a'^* f_{2!} \bar{\mathcal{L}}.$$

We see that

$$a_1^\bigstar(\bar{K}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}_{D_0})[-\dim G - \alpha] = a'^\bigstar \tilde{K}[-\dim G + \alpha]$$

where $\tilde{K} = f_{2!} \bar{\mathcal{L}}$, that is $a_1^\bigstar(\bar{K}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}_{D_0}) = a'^\bigstar \tilde{K}[2\alpha]$. Hence

$$a_1^\bigstar({}^p H^i(\bar{K}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}_{D_0})) = {}^p H^i(a_1^\bigstar(\bar{K}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}_{D_0})) = {}^p H^i(a'^\bigstar \tilde{K}[2\alpha]) = a'^\bigstar({}^p H^i(\tilde{K}[2\alpha]))$$
$$= a'^\bigstar({}^p H^{i+2\alpha} \tilde{K}).$$

From this and definition (27.1) we see that

$$\mathrm{ind}^D_{D_0}({}^p H^i(\bar{K}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}_{D_0})) = a''_!({}^p H^{i+2\alpha} \tilde{K}).$$

We have

(b) $$\oplus_i \mathrm{ind}^D_{D_0}({}^p H^i(\bar{K}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}_{D_0}))[-i] = \oplus_i {}^p H^{i+2\alpha}(\bar{K}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}_D)[-i] \in \mathcal{D}(D).$$

Indeed, the left hand side is

$$\oplus_i a''_!({}^pH^{i+2\alpha}\tilde{K})[-i] = a''_!(\tilde{K}[2\alpha]) = a''_! f_{2!}\bar{\mathcal{L}}[2\alpha] = \bar{K}_D^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}})[2\alpha]$$
$$= \oplus_i {}^pH^{i+2\alpha}(\bar{K}_D^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}})[-i] \in \mathcal{D}(D),$$

where we use that $\tilde{K}$ and $\bar{K}_D^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}$ are semisimple complexes (a consequence of the decomposition theorem [BBD]). Since ${}^pH^i(\bar{K}_{D_0}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}})$ is a direct sum of character sheaves on D_0, we see, using 30.6(a), that $\mathrm{ind}_{D_0}^D({}^pH^i(\bar{K}_{D_0}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}))$ is a perverse sheaf on D. Taking ${}^pH^i$ for both sides of (b) we therefore find

$$\mathrm{ind}_{D_0}^D({}^pH^i(\bar{K}_{D_0}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}})) = {}^pH^{i+2\alpha}(\bar{K}_D^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}) \tag{c}$$

for any $i \in \mathbf{Z}$. To prove (a) it is enough to verify the following statement.

(d) *If $A_1 \in \hat{D}_0^{\mathcal{L}}$, then $\mathrm{ind}_{D_0}^D(A_1)$ is a direct sum of character sheaves in $\hat{D}^{\mathcal{L}}$.*

We may assume that A_1 is a direct summand of ${}^pH^i(\bar{K}_{D_0}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}})$. Then $\mathrm{ind}_{D_0}^D(A_1)$ is a direct summand of $\mathrm{ind}_{D_0}^D({}^pH^i(\bar{K}_{D_0}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}))$. From (c) we see that $\mathrm{ind}_{D_0}^D(A_1)$ is a direct summand of ${}^pH^{i+2\alpha}(\bar{K}_D^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}})$ which is a direct sum of character sheaves in $\hat{D}^{\mathcal{L}}$. This proves (d) hence also (a).

38.4. For $J \subset J' \subset \mathbf{I}$ such that $\epsilon(J) = J, \epsilon(J') = J'$ we have functors $\tilde{f}_{J,J'} : \mathcal{D}(V_{J,D}) \to \mathcal{D}(V_{J',D})$, $\tilde{e}_{J,J'} : \mathcal{D}(V_{J',D}) \to \mathcal{D}(V_{J,D})$, see 30.4.

Let $K, K', J \subset \mathbf{I}$ be such that $K \subset J, K' \subset J, \epsilon(J) = J, \epsilon(K) = K, \epsilon(K') = K'$. For any $u \in {}^K\mathbf{W}^{K'} \in \mathbf{W}_J^\epsilon$ let

$$\Xi_u = \{(X, Y, g(U_X \cap U_Y)); X \in \mathcal{P}_{K\cap \mathrm{Ad}(u)K'}, Y \in \mathcal{P}_{K'\cap \mathrm{Ad}(u^{-1})K},$$
$$g(U_X \cap U_Y) \in (N_D X \cap N_D Y)/(U_X \cap U_Y), \mathrm{pos}(X, Y) = u\}.$$

We have a diagram

$$V_{K\cap \mathrm{Ad}(u)K',D} \xleftarrow{j} \Xi_u \xrightarrow{h} V_{K'\cap \mathrm{Ad}(u^{-1})K,D}$$

where $j(X, Y, g(U_X \cap U_Y)) = (X, gU_X), h(X, Y, g(U_X \cap U_Y)) = (Y, gU_Y)$. Set $\Psi_u = h_! j^* : \mathcal{D}(V_{K\cap \mathrm{Ad}(u)K',D}) \to \mathcal{D}(V_{K'\cap \mathrm{Ad}(u^{-1})K,D})$.

Lemma 38.5. *Let $A' \in \mathcal{D}(V_{K,D})$. We set $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}} = \tilde{e}_{K',J}\tilde{f}_{K,J}A' \in \mathcal{D}(V_{K',D})$. For any $u \in {}^K\mathbf{W}^{K'} \cap \mathbf{W}_J^\epsilon$ we set $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_u = \tilde{f}_{K'\cap \mathrm{Ad}(u^{-1})K,K'}\Psi_u \tilde{e}_{K\cap \mathrm{Ad}(u)K',K}A' \in \mathcal{D}(V_{K',D})$ and $m_u = \dim(U_P \cap U_R)/U_Q$ where $P \in \mathcal{P}_K, R \in \mathcal{P}_{K'}$, $\mathrm{pos}(P, R) = u$ and $Q = Q_{J,P} = Q_{J,R} \in \mathcal{P}_J$ (notation of 36.4). We have*

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{C}} \fallingdotseq \{\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_u[[-m_u]]; u \in {}^K\mathbf{W}^{K'} \cap \mathbf{W}_J^\epsilon\}$$

where $\fallingdotseq$ is as in 32.15.

The proof is very similar to that of Proposition 37.2. We have a commutative diagram with a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & E & \xrightarrow{b} & V_{K',J,D} \xrightarrow{c'} V_{K',D} \\ & & {\scriptstyle a}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle d'}\downarrow \\ V_{K,D} & \xleftarrow{c} & V_{K,J,D} & \xrightarrow{d} & V_{J,D} \end{array}$$

Here

$E = \{(P, R, gU_Q); P \in \mathcal{P}_K, R \in \mathcal{P}_{K'}, gU_Q \in (N_D P \cap N_D R)/U_Q, Q = Q_{J,P} = Q_{J,R}\}$,

$c(P, gU_Q) = (P, gU_P)$, $d(P, gU_Q) = (Q, gU_Q)$ with $Q = Q_{J,P}$,

$c'(R, gU_Q) = (R, gU_R)$, $d'(R, gU_Q) = (Q, gU_Q)$, with $Q = Q_{J,R}$,

$a(P, R, gU_Q) = (P, gU_Q), b(P, R, gU_Q) = (R, gU_Q)$.

We have

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{C}} = c'_! d'^* d_! c^* A' = c'_! b_! a^* c^* A' = (c'b)_! (ca)^* A' = q_! p^* A'$$

where $q = c'b : E \to V_{K',D}, p = ca : E \to V_{K,D}$ are given by $q(P, R, gU_Q) = (R, gU_R)$, $p(P, R, gU_Q) = (P, gU_P)$. We have a partition

$$E = \sqcup_{u \in {}^K\mathbf{W}^{K'} \cap \mathbf{W}_J^\epsilon} E_u$$

where $E_u = \{(P, R, gU_Q) \in E; \text{pos}(P, R) = u\}$ is locally closed in E. Let $p_u = p|_{E_u} : E_u \to V_{K,D}, q_u = q|_{E_u} : E_u \to V_{K',D}$. By 32.15, we have

$$q_! p^* A' \fallingdotseq \{q_{u!} p_u^* A'; u \in {}^K\mathbf{W}^{K'} \cap \mathbf{W}_J^\epsilon\}.$$

It remains to show that, for u as above, we have $q_{u!} p_u^* A' = \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_u[[-m_u]]$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} V_{K,D} & \xleftarrow{\tilde{d}} & V_{K\cap \mathrm{Ad}(u)K',K,D} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{c}} & V_{K\cap \mathrm{Ad}(u)K',D} & & \\ & & {\scriptstyle \tilde{a}}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle j}\uparrow & & \\ & & S'_u & \xrightarrow{\tilde{b}} & \Xi_u & \xrightarrow{h} & V_{K'\cap \mathrm{Ad}(u^{-1})K,D} \\ & & {\scriptstyle x}\uparrow & & & & {\scriptstyle \tilde{c}'}\uparrow \\ & & S_u & \xrightarrow{y} & & \longrightarrow & V_{K'\cap \mathrm{Ad}(u^{-1})K,K',D} \\ & & & & & & {\scriptstyle \tilde{d}'}\downarrow \\ & & & & & & V_{K',D} \end{array}$$

Here

$\tilde{c}(X,gU_P)=(X,gU_X), \tilde{d}(X,gU_P)=(P,gU_P)$,
$\tilde{c}'(Y,gU_R)=(Y,gU_Y), \tilde{d}'(Y,gU_R)=(R,gU_R)$,
$S'_u, \tilde{a}, \tilde{b}$ are defined so that the square $(\tilde{a},\tilde{b},\tilde{c},j)$ is cartesian;
S_u, x, y are defined so that the square $(x,y,h\tilde{b},\tilde{c}')$ is cartesian.
Then

$$S_u=\{(X,Y,g(U_X\cap U_Y),g'U_P,g''U_R); X\in\mathcal{P}_{K\cap \mathrm{Ad}(u)K'}, Y\in\mathcal{P}_{K'\cap \mathrm{Ad}(u^{-1})K},$$
$$g(U_X\cap U_Y)\in(N_DX\cap N_DY)/(U_X\cap U_Y), g'U_P\in N_DX/U_P,$$
$$g''U_R\in N_DY/U_R, \mathrm{pos}(X,Y)=u, P=Q_{K,X}, R=Q_{K',Y},$$
$$g'U_X=gU_X, g''U_Y=gU_Y\}.$$

Set $r=\tilde{d}'y: S_u\to V_{K',D}, s:\tilde{d}\tilde{a}x: S_u\to V_{K,D}$. Then

$$r(X,Y,g(U_X\cap U_Y),g'U_P,g''U_R)=(P,g'U_P),$$
$$s(X,Y,g(U_X\cap U_Y),g'U_P,g''U_R)=(R,g''U_R).$$

We have

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{E}}_u=\tilde{d}'_!\tilde{c}'^*h_!j^*\tilde{c}_!\tilde{d}^*A'=\tilde{d}'_!\tilde{c}'^*h_!\tilde{b}_!\tilde{a}^*\tilde{d}^*A'=\tilde{d}'_!\tilde{c}'^*(h\tilde{b})_!(\tilde{d}\tilde{a})^*A'$$
$$=\tilde{d}'_!y_!x^*(\tilde{d}\tilde{a})^*A'=(\tilde{d}'y)_!(\tilde{d}\tilde{a}x)^*A'=r_!s^*A'.$$

We show that $q_{u!}p_u^*A'=r_!s^*A'[[-m_u]]$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} V_{K,D} & \xleftarrow{p_u} & E_u & \xrightarrow{q_u} & V_{K',D} \\ {\scriptstyle 1}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle t}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle 1}\downarrow \\ V_{K,D} & \xleftarrow{s} & S_u & \xrightarrow{r} & V_{K',D} \end{array}$$

where $t(P,R,gU_Q)=(P^R,R^P,g(U_{P^R}\cap U_{R^P}),gU_P,gU_R)$ is well defined since $U_Q\subset U_P, U_Q\subset U_R, U_Q\subset U_{P^R}, U_Q\subset U_{R^P}$. We continue the proof assuming that

(a) *t is an affine space bundle with fibres of dimension m_u.*

For any $\tilde{A}\in\mathcal{D}(S_u)$ we have $t_!t^*(\tilde{A})=\tilde{A}[[-m_u]]$. Hence

$$r_!s^*A'[[-m_u]]=r_!t_!t^*s^*A'=(rt)_!(st)^*A'=q_{u!}p_u^*A',$$

as required.

We prove (a). Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_u & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{E}_u \\ {\scriptstyle t}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \mathfrak{t}}\downarrow \\ S_u & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{S}_u \end{array}$$

where $\mathfrak{E}_u\xrightarrow{\mathfrak{t}}\mathfrak{S}_u$ is as in the proof of 37.2 and the horizontal maps are the obvious imbeddings. Clearly, this diagram is cartesian. Hence (a) is a consequence of the analogous statement 37.2(a) for $\mathfrak{E}_u\xrightarrow{\mathfrak{t}}\mathfrak{S}_u$. This completes the proof.

38.6. In the setup of 38.4, let $u \in {}^K\mathbf{W}^{K'} \cap \mathbf{W}^\epsilon_J$. Let $\delta = \dim(U_{X_u}/(U_{X_u} \cap U_{Y_u})) = \dim(U_{Y_u}/(U_{X_u} \cap U_{Y_u}))$ where $(X_u, Y_u, g(U_{X_u} \cap U_{Y_u})) \in \Xi_u$. Let $\alpha_u = \dim U_{X_u} = \dim U_{Y_u}$, $\alpha = \dim U_P, \alpha' = \dim U_R$ where $P \in \mathcal{P}_K, R \in \mathcal{P}_{K'}$. We show:

(a) *h and j in 38.4 are affine space bundles with fibres of dimension δ.*

It is enough to prove the statements on j (the statement on h is entirely similar). We show that j is surjective. Let X, g be such that $(X, gU_X) \in V_{K\cap \mathrm{Ad}(u)K',D}$. We must show that there exist $Y \in \mathcal{P}_{K'\cap \mathrm{Ad}(u^{-1})K}, g' \in N_D X \cap N_D Y$ such that $\mathrm{pos}(X, Y) = u$ and $g'U_X = gU_X$. Setting $g^{-1}g' = v$, it is enough to show that for any $Y \in \mathcal{P}_{K'\cap \mathrm{Ad}(u^{-1})K}$ with $\mathrm{pos}(X, Y) = u$ there exists $v \in U_X$ such that $gv \in N_G Y$. Now X, Y contain a common Levi M. Since $g \in N_G X$ we can find $v \in U_X$ such that $g' = gv \in N_G X \cap N_G M$. There is a unique parabolic Y' of the same type as Y such that Y' has Levi M and $\mathrm{pos}(X, Y') = u$. Then $\mathrm{pos}(g'Xg'^{-1}, g'Yg'^{-1}) = u$, $g'Yg'^{-1}$ has Levi $g'Mg'^{-1} = M$. By uniqueness, we have $Y' = Y$. Thus $g' \in N_G Y$.

We show that the fibres of j are affine spaces of dimension δ. Let $(X, Y, g(U_X \cap U_Y)) \in \Xi_u$. We must show that $F = \{(X, Y', g'(U_X \cap U'_Y)) \in \Xi_u; gU_X = g'U_X\}$ is an affine space. Fix $Y' \in \mathcal{P}_{K'\cap \mathrm{Ad}(u^{-1})K}$ such that $\mathrm{pos}(X, Y') = u$. (The set of such Y' is a homogeneous space $U_X/(U_X \cap Y)$, hence is an affine space of dimension δ.) It is enough to show that

$\{g'(U_X \cap U'_Y) \in (N_D X \cap N_D Y)/(U_X \cap U_Y); g' \in gU_X\}$ is a point.

Now $g = g_0 v_0$ where $g_0 \in N_D X \cap N_D Y, v_0 \in U_X$. It is enough to show that $\{v \in U_X; v_0 v \in N_G X \cap N_G Y\}/(U_X \cap U_Y)$ is a point or that $(U_X \cap N_G X \cap N_G Y)/(U_X \cap U_Y)$ is a point or that $U_X \cap Y = U_X \cap U_Y$. This is clear.

38.7. Let $u \in {}^K\mathbf{W}^{K'} \cap \mathbf{W}^\epsilon_J$. We set $H = K \cap \mathrm{Ad}(u)K', H' = K' \cap \mathrm{Ad}(u^{-1})K = \mathrm{Ad}(u^{-1})H$. Let $\Psi'_u = \Psi_u[[\delta]] : \mathcal{D}(V_{H,D}) \to \mathcal{D}(V_{H',D})$. We show:

(a) *If $A \in CS(V_{H,D})$ then $\Psi'_u(A) \in CS(V_{H',D})$.*

We have a commutative diagram in which the upper squares are cartesian and the left and right vertical arrows are smooth with connected fibres:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
V_{H,D} & \xleftarrow{j} & \Xi_u & \xrightarrow{h} & V_{H',D} \\
{\scriptstyle f'_3}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle f'_4}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle f'_5}\uparrow \\
V'_H & \xleftarrow{j'} & \Xi''_u & \xrightarrow{h'} & V'_{H'} \\
{\scriptstyle f_3}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle f_4}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle f_5}\downarrow \\
V^u_H & \xleftarrow{j^u} & \Xi'_u & \xrightarrow{h^u} & V^u_{H'}
\end{array}$$

Here

$V^u_H = \{(X, gU_X) \in V_{H,D}; X = X_u\}$, $V^u_{H'} = \{(Y, gU_Y) \in V_{H',D}; Y = Y_u\}$,
$\Xi'_u = \{(X, Y, g(U_X \cap U_Y)) \in \Xi_u; X = X_u, Y = Y_u\}$,
$V'_H = G^0/U_{X_u} \times V^u_H$, $V'_{H'} = G^0/U_{Y_u} \times V^u_{H'}$,
$\Xi''_u = G^0/(U_{X_u} \cap U_{Y_u}) \times \Xi'_u$,

j^u, h^u are the restrictions of j, h,
$j'(x(U_{X_u}\cap U_{Y_u}),(X_u,Y_u,g(U_{X_u}\cap U_{Y_u}))=(xU_{X_u},(X_u,gU_{X_u}))$,
$h'(x(U_{X_u}\cap U_{Y_u}),(X_u,Y_u,g(U_{X_u}\cap U_{Y_u}))=(xU_{Y_u},(Y_u,gU_{Y_u}))$,
$f_3'(xU_{X_u},(X_u,gU_{X_u}))=(xX_ux^{-1},xgx^{-1}U_{xX_ux^{-1}}))$,
$f_3(xU_{X_u},(X_u,gU_{X_u}))=(X_u,gU_{X_u})$,
$f_4'(x(U_{X_u}\cap U_{Y_u}),(X_u,Y_u,g(U_{X_u}\cap U_{Y_u}))=(xX_ux^{-1},xY_ux^{-1},xgx^{-1}(U_{xX_ux^{-1}}\cap U_{xY_ux^{-1}}))$,
$f_5'(xU_{Y_u},(Y_u,gU_{Y_u}))=(xY_ux^{-1},xgx^{-1}U_{xY_ux^{-1}}))$,
$f_4(x(U_{X_u}\cap U_{Y_u}),(X_u,Y_u,g(U_{X_u}\cap U_{Y_u}))=(X_u,Y_u,g(U_{X_u}\cap U_{Y_u}))$,
$f_5(xY_u,(Y_u,gU_{Y_u}))=(Y_u,gU_{Y_u})$.

We may identify $V_H^u=N_DX_u/U_{X_u}$, $V_{H'}^u=N_DY_u/U_{Y_u}$ in an obvious way. We can find $C\in CS(N_DX_u/U_{X_u})$ such that $f_3'^\bigstar A=f_3^\bigstar C$. Hence $f_3'^*A[\dim X_u/U_{X_u}]=f_3^*C[\dim G/U_{X_u}]$ and $f_3'^*A=f_3^*A_1$ where $A_1=C[\alpha_u]$. Let $A_2=h_!^uj^{u*}A_1$, $A_2'=h_!j^*A$. Using 38.6(a) and the fact that h^u is an isomorphism, we have

$$f_5'^*A_2'=f_5'^*h_!j^*A=h_!'f_4'^*j^*A=h_!'j'^*f_3'^*A=h_!'j'^*f_3^*A_1=h_!'f_4^*j^{u*}A_1$$
$$=h_!'f_4^*h^{u*}h_!^uj^{u*}A_1=h_!'h'^*f_5^*h_!^uj^{u*}A_1=f_5^*h_!^uj^{u*}A_1[[-\delta]]=f_5^*A_2[[-\delta]].$$

Thus, $f_5^*A_2[[-\delta]]=f_5'^*A_2'$. Hence

$$f_5^\bigstar A_2[-\dim G^0/U_{Y_u}][[-\delta]]=f_5'^\bigstar A_2'[-\dim Y_u/U_{Y_u}], f_5^\bigstar A_2[-\alpha_u]=f_5'^\bigstar A_2'[[\delta]].$$

Since h^u, j^u are isomorphisms we see that $A_2[-\alpha_u]$ is a perverse sheaf. Hence so is $f_5^\bigstar A_2[-\alpha_u]$. Hence $f_5'^\bigstar A_2'[[\delta]]$ is perverse. Hence $A_2'[[\delta]]$ is perverse and $h_!j^*A[[\delta]]$ is perverse. To show that $h_!j^*A[[\delta]]=A_2'[[\delta]]\in CS(V_{H',D})$, it is enough to show that $A_2[-\alpha_u]\in CS(N_DY_u/U_{Y_u})$ or that $h_!^uj^{u*}C\in CS(N_DY_u/U_{Y_u})$.

Let M be a common Levi subgroup of X_u, Y_u. Let $\tilde M=N_GX_u\cap N_GY_u\cap N_GM$. Then $\tilde M$ is a reductive group with $\tilde M^0=M$ and $\tilde M^1=N_DX_u\cap N_DY_u\cap N_DM$ is a connected component of $\tilde M$. Moreover, the obvious maps $\tilde M^1\to N_DX_u/U_{X_u}$, $\tilde M^1\to N_DY_u/U_{Y_u}$, $\tilde M^1\to(N_DX_u\cap N_DY_u)/(U_{X_u}\cap U_{Y_u})$ are isomorphisms (see 1.25). Hence the bottom row $V_H^u\xleftarrow{j^u}\Xi_u'\xrightarrow{h^u}V_{H'}^u$ of the commutative diagram above may be identified with $\tilde M^1\leftarrow\tilde M^1\to\tilde M^1$ where both maps are the identity. Thus $h_!^uj^{u*}C\in CS(N_DY_u/U_{Y_u})$ follows immediately from $C\in CS(N_DX_u/U_{X_u})$. This proves (a).

We now show:

(b) *For A as above we have $f_{H',J}\Psi_u'(A)=f_{H,J}(A)$.*

Let $Q\in\mathcal{P}_J$ be such that $X_u\subset Q\supset Y_u$. Let M_1 be the unique Levi of Q such that $M\subset M_1$. Let $\tilde M_1=N_GQ\cap N_GM_1$. Then $\tilde M_1$ is a reductive group with $\tilde M_1^0=M_1$ and $\tilde M_1^1=N_DQ\cap N_DM_1$ is a connected component of $\tilde M_1$. Moreover, $X_u\cap\tilde M_1, Y_u\cap\tilde M_1$ are parabolic subgroups of M_1 with a common Levi, M. Let $C\in CS(N_DX_u/U_{X_u})=CS(\tilde M^1)$ be as above. We may assume that A is simple so that C is also simple. Using the proof of (a) and that of 38.2 we see that it

is enough to verify that $\mathrm{ind}_{\tilde{M}^1}^{\tilde{M}_1^1}(C)$ (defined in terms of the parabolic $X_u \cap \tilde{M}_1$) is isomorphic to $\mathrm{ind}_{\tilde{M}^1}^{\tilde{M}_1^1}(C)$ (defined in terms of the parabolic $Y_u \cap \tilde{M}_1$). Since C is an admissible complex on $\tilde{M}^1$ (see 30.12), this follows from 27.2(d) which shows (for G instead of $\tilde{M}_1$) that $\mathrm{ind}_{\tilde{M}^1}^{\tilde{M}_1^1}(C)$ can be defined without reference to a choice of parabolic. This proves (b).

Proposition 38.8. *Let K, K', J be as in 38.4. Let $A \in CS(V_{K,D})$. We have*

$$e_{K',J} f_{K,J} A \cong \oplus_{u \in {}^K\mathbf{W}^{K'} \cap \mathbf{W}_J^\epsilon} f_{K' \cap \mathrm{Ad}(u^{-1})K, K'} \Psi'_u e_{K \cap \mathrm{Ad}(u)K', K} A$$

in $CS(V_{K',D})$.

We set $\mathfrak{C} = e_{K',J} f_{K,J} A \in \mathcal{D}(V_{K,D})$. For any $u \in {}^K\mathbf{W}^{K'} \cap \mathbf{W}_J^\epsilon$ we set $\mathfrak{C}_u = f_{K' \cap \mathrm{Ad}(u^{-1})K, K'} \Psi'_u e_{K \cap \mathrm{Ad}(u)K', K} A \in \mathcal{D}(V_{K',D})$. Assume that we can show that

$$\mathfrak{C} \fallingdotseq \{\mathfrak{C}_u; u \in {}^K\mathbf{W}^{K'} \cap \mathbf{W}_J^\epsilon\}.$$

From the definition of $\fallingdotseq$ (see 32.15) it would then follow that

$$\sum_i (-1)^i ({}^pH^i(\mathfrak{C})) = \sum_{u \in {}^K\mathbf{W}^{K'} \cap \mathbf{W}_J^\epsilon} \sum_i (-1)^i ({}^pH^i(\mathfrak{C}_u))$$

in the Grothendieck group of the category of perverse sheaves on $V_{K',D}$. From 38.2(a), 38.3(a), 38.7(a) we see that $\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_u \in CS(V_{K',D})$ (hence are perverse sheaves); hence the previous equality implies that $\mathfrak{C} = \sum_{u \in {}^K\mathbf{W}^{K'} \cap \mathbf{W}_J^\epsilon} \mathfrak{C}_u$ in the Grothendieck group of the category of perverse sheaves on $V_{K',D}$. Since $\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_u$ are semisimple perverse sheaves (being in $CS(V_{K',D})$) it follows that $\mathfrak{C} \cong \oplus_{u \in {}^K\mathbf{W}^{K'} \cap \mathbf{W}_J^\epsilon} \mathfrak{C}_u$, as desired.

Assume that $P \in \mathcal{P}_K$ contains X_u and $R \in \mathcal{P}_{K'}$ contains Y_u so that $\mathrm{pos}(P, R) = u$. (X_u, Y_u as in 38.6.) Let $Q \in \mathcal{P}_J$ be such that $P \subset Q \supset R$. Let $\beta = \dim U_Q$. We have

$\mathfrak{C} = \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}[\alpha + \alpha' - 2\beta](\alpha' - \beta)$,

$\mathfrak{C}_u = \tilde{\mathfrak{C}_u}[\alpha_u - \alpha](\alpha_u - \alpha)[\alpha_u - \alpha'][2\delta](\delta)$.

(Notation of 38.5.) Hence it is enough to show

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}[\alpha + \alpha' - 2\beta](\alpha' - \beta) \fallingdotseq \{\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_u[2\alpha_u - \alpha - \alpha' + 2\delta](\alpha_u - \alpha + \delta); u \in {}^K\mathbf{W}^{K'} \cap \mathbf{W}_J^\epsilon\}$$

or that

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{C}} \fallingdotseq \{\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_u[2\alpha_u - 2\alpha - 2\alpha' + 2\delta - 2\beta](\alpha_u - \alpha - \alpha' + \delta + \beta); u \in {}^K\mathbf{W}^{K'} \cap \mathbf{W}_J^\epsilon\}.$$

By 38.5, it is enough to show that for any u we have $\alpha_u - \alpha - \alpha' + \delta + \beta = -m_u$ or that

$$\dim U_P + \dim U_R - \dim(U_P \cap U_R) = \dim U_{X_u} + \dim U_{Y_u} - \dim(U_{X_u} \cap U_{Y_u}).$$

It is enough to show that

$$\dim \operatorname{Lie} U_P + \dim \operatorname{Lie} U_R - \dim(\operatorname{Lie} U_P \cap \operatorname{Lie} U_R)$$
$$= \dim \operatorname{Lie} U_{X_u} + \dim \operatorname{Lie} U_{Y_u} - \dim(\operatorname{Lie} U_{X_u} \cap \operatorname{Lie} U_{Y_u})$$

or that $\dim(\operatorname{Lie} U_P + \operatorname{Lie} U_R) = \dim(\operatorname{Lie} U_{X_u} + \operatorname{Lie} U_{Y_u})$. We have

$\operatorname{Lie} U_{X_u} = \operatorname{Lie} U_P + (\operatorname{Lie} P \cap \operatorname{Lie} U_R), \operatorname{Lie} U_{Y_u} = \operatorname{Lie} U_R + (\operatorname{Lie} R \cap \operatorname{Lie} U_P)$
hence $\operatorname{Lie} U_{X_u} + \operatorname{Lie} U_{Y_u} \subset \operatorname{Lie} U_P + \operatorname{Lie} U_R$. The opposite inclusion is clear since $\operatorname{Lie} U_P \subset \operatorname{Lie} U_{X_u}, \operatorname{Lie} U_R \subset \operatorname{Lie} U_{Y_u}$. Thus we have

$\operatorname{Lie} U_{X_u} + \operatorname{Lie} U_{Y_u} = \operatorname{Lie} U_P + \operatorname{Lie} U_R$.
This completes the proof.

38.9. For $J \subset \mathbf{I}$ such that $\epsilon(J) = J$ let $\mathcal{K}(V_{J,D})$ be the Grothendieck group of $CS(V_{J,D})$. Similarly let $\mathcal{K}(D)$ be the Grothendieck group of $CS(D)$. For $A, A' \in CS(V_{J,D})$ we set $(A, A') = \dim \operatorname{Hom}(A, A')$. This induces a symmetric bilinear pairing $(,)_J : \mathcal{K}(V_{J,D}) \times \mathcal{K}(V_{J,D}) \to \mathbf{Z}$.

For $J \subset J' \subset \mathbf{I}$ such that $\epsilon(J) = J, \epsilon(J') = J'$, the functors $f_{J,J'} : CS(V_{J,D}) \to CS(V_{J',D})$ and $e_{J,J'} : CS(V_{J',D}) \to CS(V_{J,D})$ are compatible with direct sums hence they induce homomorphisms $\mathcal{K}(V_{J,D}) \to \mathcal{K}(V_{J',D})$, $\mathcal{K}(V_{J',D}) \to \mathcal{K}(V_{J,D})$ denoted again by $f_{J,J'}, e_{J,J'}$. From 30.5 we see that

(a) $(e_{J,J'}A', A)_J = (A', f_{J,J'}A)_{J'}$
for $A \in \mathcal{K}(V_{J,D}), A' \in \mathcal{K}(V_{J,D})$.

In the setup of 38.7, for $u \in {}^K\mathbf{W}^{K'} \in \mathbf{W}_J^\epsilon$, the functor

$\Psi'_u : CS(V_{K \cap \operatorname{Ad}(u)K',D}) \to CS(V_{K' \cap \operatorname{Ad}(u^{-1})K,D}$
is compatible with direct sums hence induces a homomorphism

$\mathcal{K}(V_{K \cap \operatorname{Ad}(u)K',D}) \to \mathcal{K}(V_{K' \cap \operatorname{Ad}(u^{-1})K,D}$
denoted again by Ψ'_u. Below we shall need the following identity:

$$\text{(b)} \qquad \sum_{\substack{K';K' \subset J \\ \epsilon(K')=K'}} \sum_{\substack{u \in {}^K\mathbf{W}^{K'} \cap \mathbf{W}_J^\epsilon \\ K \cap \operatorname{Ad}(u)K' = H}} (-1)^{|K'_\epsilon|} = (-1)^{|H_\epsilon|}$$

for any $H \subset K \subset J \subset \mathbf{I}$ such that $\epsilon(H) = h, \epsilon(K) = K, \epsilon(J) = J$. In the case where $J = \mathbf{I}, \epsilon = 1$ this is proved in [Cu, 2.5]; the general case can be reduced to this special case by replacing $\mathbf{W}$ by $\mathbf{W}_J^\epsilon$ which is itself a Weyl group with simple reflections in bijection with J_ϵ.

38.10. For $J \subset \mathbf{I}$ such that $\epsilon(J) = J$ we define a homomorphism $\mathbf{d}_J : \mathcal{K}(V_{J,D}) \to \mathcal{K}(V_{J,D})$ by

$$\text{(a)} \qquad \mathbf{d}_J A = \sum_{K;K \subset J, \epsilon(K)=K} (-1)^{|K_\epsilon|} f_{K,J} e_{K,J} A.$$

Using 38.9(a) we see that for $A, A' \in \mathcal{K}(V_{J,D})$ we have

$$\text{(b)} \qquad (\mathbf{d}_J(A), A')_J = (A, \mathbf{d}_J(A')_J.$$

We show that, for $K \subset J \subset \mathbf{I}$ such that $\epsilon(K) = K, \epsilon(J) = J$ and $A \in \mathcal{K}(V_{K,D})$, we have

(c) $$\mathbf{d}_J f_{K,J} A = f_{K,J} \mathbf{d}_K A.$$

Using 38.8, 38.7(b), 38.9(b), 38.1(a) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{d}_J f_{K,J} A &= \sum_{K';K'\subset J,\epsilon(K')=K'} (-1)^{|K'_\epsilon|} f_{K',J} e_{K',J} f_{K,J} A \\
&= \sum_{\substack{K';K'\subset J\\ \epsilon(K')=K'\\ u\in {}^K\mathbf{W}^{K'}\cap \mathbf{W}_J^\epsilon}} (-1)^{|K'_\epsilon|} f_{K',J} f_{K'\cap \mathrm{Ad}(u^{-1})K,K'} \Psi'_u e_{K\cap \mathrm{Ad}(u)K',K} A \\
&= \sum_{\substack{H;H\subset J,\epsilon(H)=H\\ K'\\ u\in {}^K\mathbf{W}^{K'}\cap \mathbf{W}_J^\epsilon\\ K'\subset J,\epsilon(K')=K'\\ K\cap \mathrm{Ad}(u)K'=H}} (-1)^{|K'_\epsilon|} f_{\mathrm{Ad}(u^{-1})H,J} \Psi'_u e_{H,K} A \\
&= \sum_{\substack{H;H\subset J,\epsilon(H)=H\\ K';u\in {}^K\mathbf{W}^{K'}\cap \mathbf{W}_J^\epsilon\\ K'\subset J,\epsilon(K')=K'\\ K\cap \mathrm{Ad}(u)K'=H}} (-1)^{|K'_\epsilon|} f_{H,J} e_{H,K} A \\
&= \sum_{\substack{H;H\subset J,\epsilon(H)=H\\ K';K'\subset J,\epsilon(K')=K'\\ u\in {}^K\mathbf{W}^{K'}\cap \mathbf{W}_J^\epsilon\\ K\cap \mathrm{Ad}(u)K'=H}} (-1)^{|K'_\epsilon|} f_{H,J} e_{H,K} A = \sum_{H;H\subset K,\epsilon(H)=H} (-1)^{|H_\epsilon|} f_{H,J} e_{H,K} A \\
&= \sum_{H;H\subset K,\epsilon(H)=H} (-1)^{|H_\epsilon|} f_{K,J} f_{H,K} e_{H,K} A = f_{K,J} \mathbf{d}_K A
\end{aligned}$$

and (c) is proved.

We show that, for $J \subset \mathbf{I}$ such that $\epsilon(J) = J$ and $A \in \mathcal{K}(V_{J,D})$, we have

(d) $$\mathbf{d}_J \mathbf{d}_J A = A.$$

Using (c), 38.1(a), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{d}_J\mathbf{d}_J A &= \sum_{K;K\subset J,\epsilon(K)=K} (-1)^{|K_\epsilon|}\mathbf{d}_J f_{K,J}e_{K,J}A\\
&= \sum_{K;K\subset J,\epsilon(K)=K} (-1)^{|K_\epsilon|} f_{K,J}\mathbf{d}_K e_{K,J}A\\
&= \sum_{K,K';K'\subset K\subset J,\epsilon(K)=K,\epsilon(K')=K'} (-1)^{|K_\epsilon|}(-1)^{|K'_\epsilon|} f_{K,J}f_{K',K}e_{K',K}e_{K,J}A\\
&= \sum_{K,K';K'\subset K\subset J,\epsilon(K)=K,\epsilon(K')=K'} (-1)^{|K_\epsilon|}(-1)^{|K'_\epsilon|} f_{K',J}e_{K',J}A\\
&= \sum_{K';K'\subset J,\epsilon(K')=K'} (-1)^{|K'_\epsilon|} \sum_{K;K'\subset K\subset J,\epsilon(K)=K} (-1)^{|K_\epsilon|} f_{K',J}e_{K',J}A\\
&= \sum_{K';K'\subset J,\epsilon(K')=K'} (-1)^{|K'_\epsilon|}\delta_{K',J}(-1)^{K'_\epsilon} f_{K',J}e_{K',J}A = f_{J,J}e_{J,J}A = A
\end{aligned}$$

and (d) is proved.

We show that, for $J\subset\mathbf{I}$ such that $\epsilon(J)=J$ and $A,A'\in\mathcal{K}(V_{J,D})$, we have

$$(\mathbf{d}_J A,\mathbf{d}_J A')_J = (A,A')_J. \tag{e}$$

Using (b),(d) we have $(\mathbf{d}_J A,\mathbf{d}_J A')_J = (A,\mathbf{d}_J\mathbf{d}_J A')_J = (A,A')_J$ as desired.

38.11. We write $\mathbf{d}$ instead of $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{I}}$ and $(,)$ instead of $(,)_{\mathbf{I}}$. We call $\mathbf{d}$ the duality operator on character sheaves. If A is a character sheaf on $D=V_{\mathbf{I},D}$, then $(A,A)=1$ (where A is regarded as an element of $\mathcal{K}(D)$) hence, by 38.10(e), we have $(\mathbf{d}(A),\mathbf{d}(A))=1$. Since $\mathbf{d}(A)$ is a $\mathbf{Z}$-linear combination of isomorphism classes of character sheaves (which form an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{K}(D)$ for $(,)$) it follows that $\mathbf{d}(A)=\pm A'$ where A' is a well defined character sheaf on D (up to isomorphism). The sign can be described as follows. By 30.6(d) we can find a parabolic P_0 of G^0 such that $N_DP_0\neq\emptyset$ and a cuspidal character sheaf A_0 on $D_0:=N_DP_0/U_{P_0}$ such that A is a direct summand of $\mathrm{ind}_{D_0}^D(A_0)$. We have $P_0\in\mathcal{P}_J$ where $J\subset\mathbf{I},\epsilon(J)=J$. Then

$$\mathbf{d}(A) = (-1)^{|J_\epsilon|}A'. \tag{a}$$

Indeed let $A_0^\flat\in CS(V_{D,J})$ be the perverse sheaf corresponding to A_0 as in 30.3. Then $e_{J',J}A_0^\flat=0$ for any $J'\subsetneq J$ such that $\epsilon(J')=J'$, see 38.2. Hence $\mathbf{d}_J(A_0^\flat) = (-1)^{|J_\epsilon|}A_0^\flat$. Now A is a direct summand of $f_{J,\mathbf{I}}A_0^\flat$ and by 38.10(c) we have

$$\mathbf{d}f_{J,\mathbf{I}}A_0^\flat = f_{J,\mathbf{I}}\mathbf{d}_J A_0^\flat = (-1)^{|J_\epsilon|}f_{J,\mathbf{I}}A_0^\flat.$$

In $\mathcal{K}(D)$ we have $f_{J,\mathbf{I}}A_0^\flat=\sum_{k=1}^{k_0}n_kA_k$ where A_k are distinct character sheaves on D, $n_k\in\mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ and $A_1=A$. We have $\mathbf{d}(A_k)=\iota_kA'_k$ where $\iota_k=\pm1$ and

A'_k are distinct character sheaves on D, $n_k \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ and $A_1 = A$. We see that $\sum_k n_k \iota_k A'_k = (-1)^{|J_\epsilon|} \sum_k n_k A_k$. Since $\{A_k\}$ and $\{A'_k\}$ are parts of the same basis of $\mathcal{K}(D)$ we see that $\iota_k/(-1)^{|J_\epsilon|} > 0$ for any k. Hence $\iota_k = (-1)^{|J_\epsilon|}$ for any k. In particular this holds for $k = 1$ and (a) follows.

Note that, by 38.10(d), $A \mapsto A'$ is an involution of the set of isomorphism classes of character sheaves on D.

38.12. The definition of the duality operator for character sheaves in 38.11 is entirely similar to that of a duality operator for representations of a reductive group over a finite field (given again by an alternating sum of compositions of a parabolic restriction and a parabolic induction) which was found by the author in 1977, who conjectured that it takes irreducibles to $\pm$ irreducibles and is involutive. In 1977 I communicated this conjecture to C.W.Curtis and N.Kawanaka, see [Ka, p.412]; the conjecture was proved in [Cu],[Al] and in [Ka].

39. Quasi-rationality

39.1. The main result of this section is Proposition 39.7 which gives a quasi-rationality property of representations of certain extensions of a Weyl group. This is needed to prove a key property of character sheaves (Corollary 39.8).

Let W, I be a Weyl group (I is the set of simple reflections). We have canonically $W = \prod_{j\in J} W_j$ where W_j is an irreducible Weyl group (with set of simple reflections $I_j = I \cap W_j$). We identify W_j with a subgroup of W. Let $\mathrm{R}(W) = \cup_{w\in W} wIw^{-1}$. We have $\mathrm{R}(W) = \sqcup_{j\in J}\mathrm{R}(W_j)$. For any $j \in J$ the set $\mathrm{R}(W_j)$ is a single W_j-conjugacy class if W_j is of type A, D or E; it is a union of two W_j-conjugacy classes, otherwise. A subset $\mathcal{X}$ of $\mathrm{R}(W)$ is said to be *special* if $\mathcal{X} = \sqcup_{j\in J}\mathcal{X}_j$ where $\mathcal{X}_j$ is a W_j-conjugacy class in $\mathrm{R}(W_j)$. Clearly, a special subset of $\mathrm{R}(W)$ exists; we assume that a special subset $\mathcal{X}$ of $\mathrm{R}(W)$ is given. Let $\mathrm{Aut}(W, I, \mathcal{X})$ be the group of automorphisms of W that preserve I and $\mathcal{X}$.

Lemma 39.2. *Let Γ be a finite group. Let $\gamma \mapsto \rho_\gamma$ be a homomorphism $\Gamma \to \mathrm{Aut}(W, I, \mathcal{X})$. Let E be a simple $\mathbf{Q}[W]$-module such that $\mathrm{tr}(\rho_\gamma(w), E) = \mathrm{tr}(w, E)$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma, w \in W$. Assume that either*

(i) $|J| = 1$, or

(ii) Γ is an extension of a cyclic group by a cyclic group.

Then there exists a homomorphism $\Gamma \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbf{Q}}(E)$, $\gamma \mapsto t_\gamma$ such that $t_\gamma(w(e)) = \rho_\gamma(w)t_\gamma(e)$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma, w \in W, e \in E$.

Γ acts on J by $\gamma : j \mapsto \gamma(j)$ where $W_{\gamma(j)} = \rho_\gamma(W_j)$. We may identify $E = \otimes_{j\in J} E_j$ as $\mathbf{Q}[W]$-modules where E_j is a simple $\mathbf{Q}[W_j]$-module for any $j \in J$. From our assumption we see that for any $j \in J, \gamma \in \Gamma$ there exists a $\mathbf{Q}$-linear isomorphism

(a) $H_j^\gamma : E_j \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{\gamma(j)}$ with $H_j^\gamma(w_j e) = \rho_\gamma(w_j)H_j^\gamma(e)$ for all $e \in E_j, w_j \in W_j$;

moreover, H_j^γ is unique up to multiplication by an element of $\mathbf{Q}^*$. It follows that

(b) $H^{\gamma'}_{\gamma(j)}H^{\gamma}_j \in \mathbf{Q}^*H^{\gamma'\gamma}_j$ for $j \in J$ and $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$.

For $\gamma \in \Gamma$ we define $\tilde{t}_\gamma \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbf{Q}}(E)$ by

(c) $\tilde{t}_\gamma(\otimes_j e_j) = \otimes_j e'_j$ where $e_j \in E_j$ and $e'_j = H^{\gamma}_{\gamma^{-1}(j)}(e_{\gamma^{-1}(j)}) \in E_j$.

From definitions we have $\tilde{t}_\gamma(w(e)) = \rho_\gamma(w)\tilde{t}_\gamma(e)$ for any $w \in W, e \in E$; moreover for $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$, the maps $\tilde{t}_{\gamma'\gamma}, \tilde{t}_{\gamma'}\tilde{t}_\gamma$ are equal up to a factor in $\mathbf{Q}^*$. Thus the maps $\tilde{t}_\gamma$ provide a homomorphism $\Gamma \to PGL(E)$ rather than a homomorphism $\Gamma \to GL(E)$.

We prove the lemma in the setup of (i). Replacing Γ by its image under $\gamma \mapsto \rho_g$ we may assume that $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Aut}(W, I, \mathcal{X})$ and $\gamma \mapsto \rho_\gamma$ is the inclusion. We form the semidirect product $W\Gamma$ with W normal. It is enough to show that E extends to a $W\Gamma$-module. We may assume that $\Gamma \neq \{1\}$. Then W is of type $A_n (n \geq 2)$, D_n or E_6. If $\Gamma = \mathrm{Aut}(W, I, \mathcal{X})$ then $W\Gamma$ is itself a Weyl group, of type $A_n \times A_1, D_{2n+1} \times A_1, B_{2n}, F_4, E_6 \times A_1$ for W of type $A_n (n \geq 2), D_{2n+1} (n \geq 2), D_{2n} (n \geq 3), D_4, E_6$ respectively and the desired result follows easily from the known properties of representations of such Weyl groups (in particular, from their rationality); the same applies if W is of type D_4 and $|\Gamma| = 2$ (in this case, $W\Gamma$ is a Weyl group of type B_4). In the only remaining case (W of type $D_4, |\Gamma| = 3$), the result follows by an argument in [L14, 3.2].

Next we prove the lemma in the setup of (ii). Now Γ has two generators a, c and relations

$a^M = 1$, $c^N = a^u$, $cac^{-1} = a^k$

where M, N, k are integers ≥ 1 such that $k^N = 1 \mod M$ and $u \in \mathbf{N}$ satisfies $uk = k \mod M$.

We consider separately 3 cases in increasing order of generality.

Case 1. Assume that J is a single orbit of $a : J \to J$. We may identify $J = \mathbf{Z}/m$ so that $a(i) = i+1, c(i) = ki - r$ for $i \in \mathbf{Z}/m$; here $r \in \mathbf{N}$ is independent of i. Since $a^M = 1 : J \to J$ we see that m divides M. For any i we have $i + u = c^N(i) = k^N i - (1 + k + k^2 + \cdots + k^{N-1})r$ in $\mathbf{Z}/m$. Since $k^N = 1 \mod M$ (hence $k^N = 1 \mod m$) we have $u + (1 + k + k^2 + \cdots + k^{N-1})r = fm$ for some $f \in \mathbf{N}$. We set $c' = a^r c$. Then $c'(i) = ki$ for $i \in \mathbf{Z}/m$. Let Γ' be the subgroup of Γ generated by a^m, c'. In Γ' we have

$(a^m)^{M/m} = 1$, $c'^N = (a^m)^f$, $c'a^m c'^{-1} = (a^m)^k$.

Since $a^m(0) = 0, c'(0) = 0$, the action of Γ on W restricts to an action of Γ' on W_0. Using the lemma (setup of (i)) for W_0, E_0, Γ' instead of W, E, Γ we obtain a homomorphism $\Gamma' \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbf{Q}}(E_0)$ such that, denoting by s_0, s_1 the images of a^m, c' under this homomorphism, we have $s_0^{M/m} = 1$, $s_1^N = s_0^f$, $s_1 s_0 s_1^{-1} = s_0^k$, $s_0(w(e)) = \rho_a^m(w)s_0(e)$, $s_1(w(e)) = \rho_{c'}(w)s_1(e)$ for any $w \in W_0, e \in E_0$.

For $i \in \mathbf{Z}/m$, let $H^a_i : E_i \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{i+1}$ be as in (a). Then $s_2 := H^a_{m-1} \dots H^a_1 H^a_0 : E_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} E_0$ satisfies $s_2(w(e)) = \rho_a^m(w)s_2(e)$ for any $w \in W_0, e \in E_0$. Hence $s_2^{-1}s_0(w(e)) = w(s_2^{-1}s_0(e))$ for any $w \in W_0$, $e \in E_0$. By the absolute irreducibility of the W_0-module E_0 we see that $s_2^{-1}s_0 : E_0 \to E_0$ is a $\mathbf{Q}^*$-multiple of the identity map. Hence, replacing H^a_0 by a $\mathbf{Q}^*$-multiple, we may assume that $s_2 = s_0$ that is,

$H^a_{m-1}\dots H^a_1H^a_0=s_0$. For any $h\in\mathbf{N}$ we set

$b_h=H^a_{hk-1}H^a_{hk-2}\dots H^a_1H^a_0s_1(H^a_{h-1}\dots H^a_1H^a_0)^{-1}:E_h\xrightarrow{\sim}E_{kh}$

(there are hk factors to the left of s_1 and h factors to the right of s_1). For $h\geq 1$ we have

(d) $b_h=H^a_{hk-1}H^a_{hk-2}\dots H^a_{hk-k}b_{h-1}(H^a_{h-1})^{-1}$.

We show that $b_{h+m}=b_h$ for $h\in\mathbf{N}$. We argue by induction on h. Assume first that $h=0$. We must show that $H^a_{mk-1}H^a_{mk-2}\dots H^a_1H^a_0s_1(H^a_{m-1}\dots H^a_1H^a_0)^{-1}=s_1$; this is a reformulation of the already known equality $s_0^ks_1s_0^{-1}=s_1$. Assume next that $h\geq 1$. Using (d) twice and the induction hypothesis we have

$$\begin{aligned}b_{h+m}&=H^a_{hk+mk-1}H^a_{hk+mk-2}\dots H^a_{hk+mk-k}b_{h+m-1}(H^a_{h+m-1})^{-1}\\&=H^a_{hk-1}H^a_{hk-2}\dots H^a_{hk-k}b_{h-1}(H^a_{h-1})^{-1}=b_h,\end{aligned}$$

as desired. We see that b_h depends only on the image of h in $\mathbf{Z}/m$.

We set $k=k^{N-1}$. Then $kk'=1 \mod M$. Define $t_a,t_{c'}\in\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbf{Q}}(E)$ by $t_a(\otimes_{i\in\mathbf{Z}/m}e_i)=\otimes_{i\in\mathbf{Z}/m}e'_i$ where $e_i\in E_i$ and $e'_i=H^a_{i-1}(e_{i-1})\in E_i$,

$t_{c'}(\otimes_{i\in\mathbf{Z}/m}e_i)=\otimes_{i\in\mathbf{Z}/m}e''_i$ where $e_i\in E_i$ and $e''_i=b_{ik'}(e_{ik'})\in E_{ik'k}=E_i$.

From definitions we have $t_a(w(e))=\rho_a(w)t_a(e),t_{c'}(w(e))=\rho_{c'}(w)t_{c'}(e)$ for any $w\in W,e\in E$.

We have $t_{c'}t_at_{c'}^{-1}=t_a^k$. This follows from the identity

$b_{hk'}H^a_{hk'-1}b^{-1}_{hk'-1}=H^a_{h-1}H^a_{h-2}\dots H^a_{h-k}:E_{h-k}\to E_h$

for $h=0,1,\dots,m-1$ (here b_{-1} is taken to be b_{m-1}); an equivalent identity is

$b_iH^a_{i-1}b^{-1}_{i-1}=H^a_{ik-1}H^a_{ik-2}\dots H^a_{ik-k}:E_{ik-k}\to E_{ik}$

for $i=0,1,\dots,m-1$, which is the same as (d).

We have $t_a^M=1$. This follows from the identity $H^a_{i+M-1}\dots H^a_{i+1}H^a_i=1:E_i\to E_i$ for $i=0,1,\dots,m-1$ which is equivalent to the known equality $s_0^{M/m}=1$.

We show that

(e) $t_{c'}^N=t_a^{mf}$.

This follows from the identity

$b_{ik'}b_{ik'^2}\dots b_{ik'^N}=H^a_{i-1}H^a_{i-2}\dots H^a_{i-mf}$ for $i=0,1,\dots,m-1$

or equivalently

$b_{ik^{N-1}}b_{ik^{N-2}}\dots b_i=H^a_{i-1}H^a_{i-2}\dots H^a_{i-mf}$ for $i=0,1,\dots,m-1$.

This is the same as

$H^a_{ik^N-1}H^a_{ik^N-2}\dots H^a_1H^a_0s_1^N(H^a_{i-1}\dots H^a_1H^a_0)^{-1}=H^a_{i-1}H^a_{i-2}\dots H^a_{i-mf}$

(with ik^N factors to the left of s_1^N). We have $ik^N-i=iMl$ for some $l\in\mathbf{N}$. From $s_0^{M/m}=1$ we deduce $s_0^{iMl/m}=1$ hence $H^a_{ik^N-1}H^a_{ik^N-2}\dots H^a_i=1$ (with ik^N-i factors). Since $s_1^N=s_0^f$, it remains to show

$H^a_{i-1}\dots H^a_1H^a_0s_0^f(H^a_{i-1}\dots H^a_1H^a_0)^{-1}=H^a_{i-1}H^a_{i-2}\dots H^a_{i-mf}$.

If $f=0$ this is obvious. Assume now that $f\geq 1$. Then $i-mf<0$ and we see that it is enough to show

$s_0^f(H^a_{i-1}\dots H^a_1H^a_0)^{-1}=H^a_{-1}H^a_{-2}\dots H^a_{i-mf}$

that is,

$s_0^f = H_{-1}^a H_{-2}^a \dots H_{i-mf}^a (H_{i-1}^a \dots H_1^a H_0^a)$.
This can be rewritten in the form
$s_0^f = H_{-1}^a H_{-2}^a \dots H_{i-mf}^a H_{i-mf-1}^a \dots H_{1-mf}^a H_{-mf}^a$
which follows from $s_0 = H_{m-1}^a \dots H_1^a H_0^a$. This proves (e).

We set $t_c = t_a^{-r} t_{c'} \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbf{Q}}(E)$. From definitions we have $t_c(w(e)) = \rho_c(w) t_c(e)$ for any $w \in W, e \in E$.

We have $t_c t_a t_c^{-1} = t_a^k$. This follows from the identity $t_{c'} t_a t_{c'}^{-1} = t_a^k$.

We have $t_c^N = t_a^u$. Indeed,

$$(t_a^{-r} t_{c'})^N = t_a^{-r(1+k+k^2+\dots+k^{N-1})} t_{c'}^N = t_a^{-mf+u} t_a^{mf} = t_a^u.$$

We see that $t_a, t_c \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbf{Q}}(E)$ satisfy the relations of Γ hence they define a homomorphism $\Gamma \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbf{Q}}(E)$. This has the required properties.

Case 2. Assume that J is a single Γ-orbit. Let $\langle a \rangle$ be the subgroup of Γ generated by a. If X is an $\langle a \rangle$-orbit in J then cX is again an $\langle a \rangle$-orbit. (We must show that, if $j \in X$ and $i \geq 1$, then $ca^i j, cj$ are in the same $\langle a \rangle$-orbit. But $ca^i j = a^{ik} cj$.) Hence $c^h X$ is an $\langle a \rangle$-orbit in J for any $h \in \mathbf{N}$. We can find an integer $z \geq 1$ such that $X, cX, ..., c^{z-1}X$ are distinct and $c^z X = X$. (Clearly, z is a divisor of N.) Hence the notation $X_h = c^h X$ for $h \in \mathbf{Z}/z$ is meaningful. Now $X_0, X_1, \dots, X_{z-1}$ are distinct. The union $\cup_{h \in [0,z-1]} X_h$ is c-stable and a-stable hence it is equal to J (by our assumption on J). We see that $|J| = |X|z$. For $h \in \mathbf{Z}/z$ we set $W^h = \prod_{j \in X_h} W_j$, $E^h = \otimes_{j \in X_h} E_j$. We have naturally $W = \prod_{h \in [0,z-1]} W^h$, $E = \otimes_{h \in [0,z-1]} E^h$. Let Γ'' be the subgroup of Γ generated by a, c^z. In Γ'' we have
$a^M = 1$, $(c^z)^{N/z} = a^u$, $c^z a c^{-z} = a^{k^z}$.
Since $a(X_0) = X_0, c^z(X_0) = X_0$, the action of Γ on W restricts to an action of Γ'' on W^0. Using case 1 for W^0, E^0, Γ'' instead of W, E, Γ we obtain a homomorphism $\Gamma'' \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbf{Q}}(E^0)$ such that, denoting by S_0, S_1 the images of a, c^z under this homomorphism, we have $S_0^M = 1$, $S_1^{N/z} = S_0^u$, $S_1 S_0 S_1^{-1} = S_0^{k^z}$, $S_0(w(e)) = \rho_a(w) S_0(e)$, $S_1(w(e)) = \rho_c^z(w) S_1(e)$ for any $w \in W^0, e \in E^0$.

For $h \in \mathbf{Z}/z$ there exists a $\mathbf{Q}$-linear isomorphism $K_h : E^h \xrightarrow{\sim} E^{h+1}$ with $K_h(we) = \rho_c(w) K_h(e)$ for all $e \in E^h, w \in W^h$ (note that $\rho_c(w) \in W^{h+1}$); moreover, K_h is unique up to multiplication by an element of $\mathbf{Q}^*$. (For example we can take K_h of the form $\otimes_{j \in X_h} H_j^c$ where $H_j^c : E_j \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{cj}$ are as in (a).) Then $S_2 := K_{z-1} \dots K_1 K_0 : E^0 \xrightarrow{\sim} E^0$ satisfies $S_2(w(e)) = \rho_c^z(w) S_2(e)$ for any $w \in W^0, e \in E^0$. Hence $S_2^{-1} S_1(w(e)) = w(S_2^{-1} S_1(e))$ for any $w \in W^0, e \in E^0$. By the absolute irreducibility of the W^0-module E^0 we see that $S_2^{-1} S_1 : E^0 \to E^0$ is a $\mathbf{Q}^*$-multiple of the identity map. Hence, replacing K_0 by a $\mathbf{Q}^*$-multiple, we may assume that $S_2 = S_1$ that is, $K_{z-1} \dots K_1 K_0 = S_1$.

For any $h \in \mathbf{N}$ we define $\beta_{-h} \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbf{Q}}(E^{-h})$ by
$\beta_{-h} = K_{-h}^{-1} \dots K_{-2}^{-1} K_{-1}^{-1} S_0^{k^h} K_{-1} K_{-2} \dots K_{-h}$
(for $h = 0$ this is interpreted as $\beta_0 = S_0$). We show that $\beta_{-h-z} = \beta_{-h}$. We argue by induction on h. For $h = 0$ we must verify that

$K_{-z}^{-1}\dots K_{-2}^{-1}K_{-1}^{-1}S_0^{k^z}K_{-1}K_{-2}\dots K_{-z}=S_0$;
this follows from the known equality $S_1^{-1}S_0^{k^z}S_1=S_0$. For $h\geq 1$ we have using the induction hypothesis

$\beta_{-h-z}=K_{-h-z}^{-1}\beta_{-h-z+1}^k K_{-h-z}=K_{-h}^{-1}\beta_{-h+1}^k K_{-h}=\beta_{-z}$,
We see that β_{-h} depends only on the image of h in $\mathbf{Z}/z$. Define $t_a,t_c\in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbf{Q}}(E)$ by

$\tau_a(\otimes_{h\in\mathbf{Z}/z}e_h)=\otimes_{h\in\mathbf{Z}/z}e'_h$ where $e_h\in E^h$ and $e'_h=\beta_h(e_h)\in E^h$,

$\tau_c(\otimes_{h\in\mathbf{Z}/z}e_h)=\otimes_{h\in\mathbf{Z}/z}e''_h$ where $e_h\in E^h$ and $e''_h=K_{h-1}(e_{h-1})\in E^h$.
From definitions we have $t_a(w(e))=\rho_a(w)t_a(e), t_c(w(e))=\rho_c(w)t_c(e)$ for any $w\in W, e\in E$.

We have $t_ct_at_c^{-1}=t_a^k$. This follows from the identity $\beta_{h-1}=K_{h-1}^{-1}\beta_h^kK_{h-1}$ for $h\in\mathbf{Z}/z$.

We have $t_a^M=1$. This follows from the identity $\beta_{-h}^M=1$ for any $h\in\mathbf{N}$. An equivalent statement is $K_{-h}^{-1}\dots K_{-2}^{-1}K_{-1}^{-1}S_0^{k^hM}K_{-1}K_{-2}\dots K_{-h}=1$ which follows from $S_0^M=1$.

We show that $t_c^N=t_a^u$. It is enough to show that $K_{-h-1}K_{-h-2}\dots K_{-h-N}=b_{-h}^u:E_{-h}\to E_{-h}$ for $h=0,1,\dots,z-1$. An equivalent statement is

$$(K_{-h}^{-1}\dots K_{-1}^{-1})(K_{-1}K_{-2}\dots K_{-N})(K_{-1}\dots K_{-h})=K_{-h}^{-1}\dots K_{-1}^{-1}S_0^{k^hu}K_{-1}\dots K_{-h}.$$

The left hand side is $(K_{-h}^{-1}\dots K_{-1}^{-1})S_1^{N/z}(K_{-1}\dots K_{-h})$. It is enough to show that $S_1^{N/z}=S_0^{k^hu}$ or that $S_0^u=S_0^{k^hu}$ for $h=0,1,\dots,z-1$. Since $S_0^M=1$ it is enough to show that $u=k^hu \mod M$. This follows from $uk=u \mod M$.

We see that $t_a,t_c\in\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbf{Q}}(E)$ satisfy the relations of Γ hence they define a homomorphism $\Gamma\to\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbf{Q}}(E)$. This has the required properties.

Case 3. We now consider the general case. For any Γ-orbit Y on J we set $W^Y=\prod_{j\in Y}W_j$, $E^Y=\otimes_{j\in Y}E_j$. We have naturally $W=\prod_Y W^Y$, $E=\otimes_Y E^Y$ where Y runs over the Γ-orbits in J. Now the Γ-action on W restricts to a Γ-action on W^Y for each Y. Using case 2 for W^Y,E^Y,Γ instead of W,E,Γ we obtain for each Y a homomorphism $\Gamma\to\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbf{Q}}(E^Y)$. We define a homomorphism $\Gamma\to\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbf{Q}}(E)$ by $\gamma:\otimes_Y e_Y\mapsto\otimes_Y(\gamma(e_Y))$; here $e_Y\in E^Y$. This has the required properties.

This completes the proof in the setup of (ii). The lemma is proved.

39.3. Let Γ be a finite group. Assume that Γ is a semidirect product of a normal subgroup Γ' with a cyclic group of order n with generator b. Let $\bar{\mathfrak{U}}$ be an algebraic closed field of characteristic 0. Let E be a simple $\bar{\mathfrak{U}}[\Gamma]$-module which is isotypical as a $\bar{\mathfrak{U}}[\Gamma']$-module. We show that

(a) *E is simple as a $\bar{\mathfrak{U}}[\Gamma']$-module.*
There exists a simple $\bar{\mathfrak{U}}[\Gamma']$-module E' such that, setting $V=\mathrm{Hom}_{\bar{\mathfrak{U}}[\Gamma']}(E',E)$ we have $V\otimes E'\xrightarrow{\sim}E, f\otimes e'\mapsto f(e')$. For any $\gamma'\in\Gamma'$ we have $\mathrm{tr}(b\gamma'b^{-1},E')=\mathrm{tr}(\gamma',E')$. Hence there exists $\xi\in\mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{\mathfrak{U}}}(E')$ such that $\xi(\gamma'e')=(b\gamma'b^{-1})\xi(e')$ for

$e' \in E', w' \in \Gamma'$. Then $\xi^n \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\bar{\mathfrak{U}}}(E')$ commutes with the Γ'-action hence it is a scalar on E'. Replacing ξ by an $\bar{\mathfrak{U}}^*$-multiple we can assume that $\xi^n = 1$. Then E' becomes a $\bar{\mathfrak{U}}[\Gamma]$-module with b acting as ξ. Define $\eta : V \to V$ by $f \mapsto \eta(f)$ where $\eta(f)(e') = bf(\xi^{-1}(e'))$ for $e' \in E'$. We regard V as a $\bar{\mathfrak{U}}[\Gamma]$-module in which Γ' acts trivially and b acts as η. Then the isomorphism $V \otimes E' \xrightarrow{\sim} E$ considered above is an isomorphism of $\bar{\mathfrak{U}}[\Gamma]$-modules. Since E is simple it follows that V is a simple $\bar{\mathfrak{U}}[\Gamma]$-module. Since Γ acts on V through a cyclic quotient, we see that $\dim V = 1$. It follows that $E \cong E'$ as a $\bar{\mathfrak{U}}[\Gamma']$-module; (a) follows.

39.4. Let $W, I, \mathcal{X}$ be as in 39.1. Let Γ be a finite group with generators a, c and relations $a^M = 1$, $c^N = 1$, $cac^{-1} = a^k$ where M, N, k are integers ≥ 1 such that $k^N = 1 \mod M$. Let $\langle a\rangle$ (resp. $\langle c\rangle$) be the subgroup of Γ generated by a (resp. c). Let $\gamma \mapsto \rho_\gamma$ be a homomorphism $\Gamma \to \mathrm{Aut}(W, I, \mathcal{X})$. We form the semidirect product $W\Gamma, W\langle a\rangle$ with W normal. Note that $W\langle a\rangle$ is a subgroup of $W\Gamma$.

Lemma 39.5. *In the setup of 39.4 let E be a simple $\bar{\mathfrak{U}}[W\Gamma]$-module. Assume that either $cxc^{-1} = x$ for any $x \in \langle a\rangle$, or $cxc^{-1} = x^{-1}$ for any $x \in \langle a\rangle$. Let $x \in \langle a\rangle$. There exists ζ, a root of 1 in $\bar{\mathfrak{U}}$, such that $\mathrm{tr}(wxc, E) \in \zeta\mathbf{Z}$ for any $w \in W$.*

Let $W' = W\langle a\rangle$. We can write canonically $E = \oplus_{t\in T} E^t$ where E^t are isotypical $\bar{\mathfrak{U}}[W']$-modules. Now Γ acts on T by $\gamma E^t = E_{\gamma(t)}$. This action is transitive since E is simple as a $\bar{\mathfrak{U}}[W\Gamma]$-module. If $c(t) \neq t$ for any $t \in T$ then for any $w \in W$, $wxc : E \to E$ permutes the summands E^t and no summand is stable hence $\mathrm{tr}(wxc, E) = 0$. In this case the lemma is clear. Thus we may assume that $c(t) = t$ for some $t \in T$. Then $cE^t = E^t$ hence E^t is a $\bar{\mathfrak{U}}[W\Gamma]$-submodule of E. Since E is simple, we have $E = E^t$. Thus, E is isotypical as a $\bar{\mathfrak{U}}[W']$-module. Using 39.3(a) for $W\Gamma, W', \langle c\rangle$ instead of Γ, Γ', C we see that E is simple as a $\bar{\mathfrak{U}}[W']$-module.

We can write canonically $E = \oplus_{h\in H} E_h$ where E_h are isotypical $\bar{\mathfrak{U}}[W]$-modules. Now Γ acts on H by $\gamma E_h = E_{\gamma(h)}$. This action is transitive since E is simple as a $\mathfrak{U}[W\Gamma]$-module. The restriction of this action to $\langle a\rangle$ is also transitive since E is simple as a $\bar{\mathfrak{U}}[W']$-module. For $h \in H$ let $\langle a\rangle_h$ be the stabilizer of h in $\langle a\rangle$. Let $W'_h = W\langle a\rangle_h \subset W'$. Then E_h is a W'_h-submodule of E and the W'-module E is induced by the W'_h-module E_h. Since E is simple as a W'-module it follows that E_h is simple as a W'_h-module. Using 39.3(a) for W'_h, W, E_h instead of Γ, Γ', E, we see that E_h is simple as a W-module.

For $h \in H$ let $\Gamma_h = \{\gamma \in \Gamma; \gamma(h) = h\}$. Then E_h is a $W\Gamma_h$-submodule of E. Note that Γ_h is an extension of a cyclic group (the image of Γ_h under $\Gamma \to \Gamma/\langle a\rangle$) by a cyclic group (the intersection $\Gamma_h \cap \langle a\rangle$). Let $H_0 = \{h \in H; xc(h) = h\}$. For any $w \in W$ we have

$\mathrm{tr}(wxc, E) = \sum_{h\in H_0} \mathrm{tr}(wxc, E_h)$.

In particular, if $H_0 = \emptyset$ then $\mathrm{tr}(wxc, E) = 0$ so that the lemma is clear in this case. Thus we may assume that $H_0 \neq \emptyset$. Let $h \in H_0$. We can find a simple $\mathbf{Q}[W]$-submodule E^0_h of E^h such that $E^h = \bar{\mathfrak{U}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} E^0_h$ as $\bar{\mathfrak{U}}[W]$-modules. By Lemma 39.2 applied to W, Γ_h, E^0_h instead of W, Γ, E we see that the $\mathbf{Q}[W]$-structure on E^0_h extends to a $\mathbf{Q}[W\Gamma_h]$-module structure. (The hypotheses of that lemma are

satisfied since the $\bar{\mathfrak{U}}[W]$-module structure on E_h extends to a $\bar{\mathfrak{U}}$-module structure.) For $\gamma \in \Gamma_h$ let $t_\gamma \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbf{Q}}(E_h^0)$ be the action of γ in this $\mathbf{Q}[W\Gamma_h]$-module. By extension of scalars, t_γ defines an element $\tilde{t}_\gamma \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbf{Q}}(E_h)$. For $e \in E_h, w \in W$ we have $\tilde{t}_\gamma(we) = (\gamma w \gamma^{-1})(\tilde{t}_\gamma(e))$. Hence $\gamma^{-1}(\tilde{t}_\gamma(we)) = w\gamma^{-1}\tilde{t}_g(e)$. Thus $\gamma^{-1}\tilde{t}_\gamma : E_h \to E_h$ commutes with the action of W. Since E_h is simple we see that $\gamma^{-1}\tilde{t}_\gamma : E_h \to E_h$ is a scalar $\lambda_h(\gamma) \in \bar{\mathfrak{U}}^*$. Thus $\tilde{t}_\gamma = \lambda_h(\gamma)\gamma : E_h \to E_h$. Clearly $\gamma \mapsto \lambda_h(\gamma)$ is a homomorphism $\Gamma_h \to \bar{\mathfrak{U}}^*$. For $w \in W$ we have $wxc = \lambda_h(xc)^{-1}w\tilde{t}_{xc} : E_h \to E_h$ hence

$\mathrm{tr}(wxc, E_h) = \lambda_h(xc)^{-1}\mathrm{tr}(w\tilde{t}_{xc}, E_h) = \lambda_{xc}^{-1}\mathrm{tr}(wt_{xc}, E_h^0)$.

Note that $\mathrm{tr}(wt_{xc}, E_h^0) \in \mathbf{Z}$ since E_h^0 is a $\mathbf{Q}[W\Gamma_h]$-module. We deduce

$\mathrm{tr}(wxc, E) = \sum_{h \in H_0} \lambda_h(xc)^{-1}\mathrm{tr}(wt_{xc}, E_h^0)$.

Let $H_1 = \{h \in H_0; \mathrm{tr}(w't_{xc}, E_h^0) \neq 0 \text{ for some } w' \in W\}$. Then, clearly,

$\mathrm{tr}(wxc, E) = \sum_{h \in H_1} \lambda_h(xc)^{-1}\mathrm{tr}(wt_{xc}, E_h^0)$.

Since $\lambda_h(xc)$ is a root of 1, it is enough to verify the following statement:

If $h, h' \in H_1$ then $\lambda_h(xc) = \pm\lambda_{h'}(xc)$.

Since $\langle a \rangle$ acts transitively on H, we can find $y \in \langle a \rangle$ such that $h = yh'$. We have $yE_{h'} = E_h, y\Gamma_{h'}y^{-1} = \Gamma_h$. Also, for any $w' \in W$ we have

$\mathrm{tr}(w'xc, E_{h'}) = \mathrm{tr}(yw'xcy^{-1}, E_h) = \mathrm{tr}(yw'y^{-1}yxcy^{-1}, E_h)$

hence

$\lambda_{h'}(xc)\mathrm{tr}(w'xc, E_{h'}^0) = \lambda_h(yxcy^{-1})\mathrm{tr}(yw'y^{-1}w'xcy^{-1}, E_h^0)$.

We take here $w' \in W$ such that $\mathrm{tr}(w'xc, E_{h'}^0) \neq 0$. Dividing by $\mathrm{tr}(w'xc, E_{h'}^0)$ we deduce $\lambda_{h'}(xc) \in \mathbf{Q}\lambda_h(yxcy^{-1})$. Since $\lambda_{h'}(xc), \lambda_h(yxcy^{-1})$ are roots of 1, it follows that $\lambda_{h'}(xc) = \pm\lambda_h(yxcy^{-1})$. Thus it is enough to show that $\lambda_h(xc) = \pm\lambda_h(yxcy^{-1})$ or that $\lambda_h(c^{-1}x^{-1}yxcy^{-1}) = \pm 1$ or that $\lambda_h(c^{-1}ycy^{-1}) = \pm 1$. More generally, we will verify the following statement:

If $h \in H_0, y \in \langle a \rangle$, $c^{-1}ycy^{-1} \in \langle a \rangle_h$ then $\lambda_h(c^{-1}ycy^{-1}) = \pm 1$.

If $cy = yc = 1$ this is obvious. Therefore we may assume that $cy' = y'^{-1}c$ for any $y' \in \langle a \rangle$.

Let $u \in \langle a \rangle_h$. Since u, xc belong to Γ_h we have $\lambda_h((xc)^{-1}uxcu^{-1}) = 1$ that is, $\lambda_h(c^{-1}ucu^{-1}) = 1$ (we use $ux = xu$). But $c^{-1}uc = u^{-1}$. Hence $\lambda_h(u^{-2}) = 1$ that is, $\lambda_h(u)^{-2} = 1$ and $\lambda_h(u) = \pm 1$. We apply this with $u = c^{-1}y_1cy_1^{-1} \in \langle a \rangle_h$. We see that $\lambda_h(c^{-1}y_1cy_1^{-1}) = \pm 1$. The lemma is proved.

39.6. Let $W, I, \mathcal{X}$ are as in 39.1. We assume that (W, I) is irreducible. Let $\tilde{I} = I \sqcup \{\omega\}$ where ω is a symbol. We define a map $\pi : \tilde{I} \to W$ as follows: $\pi(s) = s$ if $s \in I$ and $\pi(\omega)$ is the unique reflection of maximal length in $\mathcal{X}$. The restriction of π to $\tilde{I}$ is injective if $|I| \geq 2$; if $|I| = 1$, it maps both elements of $\tilde{I}$ to the unique element of I. Let Ω be the group of all permutations $\sigma : \tilde{I} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{I}$ such that there exist $w \in W$ with $w\pi(\sigma)w^{-1} = \pi(\sigma(x))$ for all $x \in \tilde{I}$. Then

$\sigma \mapsto [w_1 \mapsto ww_1w^{-1}]$

is a homomorphism of Ω into $\mathrm{Inn}(W)$, the group of inner automorphisms of W. Let K be a subset of $\tilde{I}$ such that $K \neq \tilde{I}$. Then π restricts to a bijection $K \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi(K)$. Let $\Omega^K = \{\sigma \in \Omega; \sigma(K) = K\}$. Let $W^{(K)}$ be the subgroup of W generated

by $\pi(K)$. From the theory of affine Weyl groups we see that $W^{(K)}$ is a (finite) Coxeter group on the generators $\pi(K)$. We have canonically $W^{(K)} = \prod_{z\in Z} W_z^{(K)}$ where $W_z^{(K)}$ is an irreducible Weyl group with set of simple reflections $K_z = K \cap W_z^{(K)}$. For $z \in Z$ we set $\mathcal{X}_z^K = \mathrm{R}(W_z^{(K)})$ if $\mathrm{R}(W_z^{(K)})$ is a single $W_z^{(K)}$-conjugacy class and $\mathcal{X}_z^K = \mathcal{X} \cap W_z^{(K)}$ if $\mathrm{R}(W_z^{(K)})$ is a union of two $W_z^{(K)}$-conjugacy classes; in any case, $\mathcal{X}_z^K$ is a single $W_z^{(K)}$-conjugacy class in $\mathrm{R}(W_z^{(K)})$. (We use the following fact: if $s \in I$ is such that $s\pi(\omega)$ has order ≥ 4 then $s, \pi(\omega)$ are not conjugate under W.) Then $\mathcal{X}^K = \sqcup_{z\in Z} \mathcal{X}_z^K$ is a special subset of $\mathrm{R}(W^{(K)})$ (see 39.1). Now the image of Ω^K under $\Omega \to \mathrm{Inn}(W)$ (as above) is contained in the group $\mathrm{Aut}(W^{(K)}, K, \mathcal{X}^K)$ of automorphisms of $W^{(K)}$ which preserve K and $\mathcal{X}^K$. Thus we have a homomorphism $\Omega^K \to \mathrm{Aut}(W^{(K)}, K, \mathcal{X}^K)$. Restricting this to a subgroup C of Ω^K we obtain a homomorphism $C \to \mathrm{Aut}(W^{(K)}, K, \mathcal{X}^K)$. Let $c \in \mathrm{Aut}(W, I, \mathcal{X})$ be such that $c(K) = K$. We have $c^N = 1$ for some $N \geq 1$. We extend the bijection $c : I \xrightarrow{\sim} I$ (restriction of $c : W \to W$) to a bijection $c : \tilde{I} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{I}$ by $c(\omega) = \omega$. We have $c(W^{(K)}) = W^{(K)}, c(\mathcal{X}^K) = \mathcal{X}^K$ hence c restricts to an element of $\mathrm{Aut}(W^{(K)}, K, \mathcal{X}^K)$. For any $\sigma \in \Omega$ we define an element $c(\sigma) \in \Omega$ by $c(\sigma)(x) = \sigma(c^{-1}x)$ for $x \in \tilde{I}$. Then $c : \Omega \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega$ preserves Ω^K. Assume that $c(C) = C$. For $\sigma \in C, w \in W^{(K)}$ we have $c(\sigma(w)) = c(\sigma)(c(w))$. Let $\langle c\rangle$ be a cyclic group of order N with generator c. On the set $W^{(K)} \times C \times \langle c\rangle$ we have a group structure

$(w, \sigma, c^n)(w', \sigma', c^{n'}) = (w\sigma(c^n(w')), \sigma c^n(\sigma'), c^{n+n'})$.

This is the semidirect product $W^{(K)}\Gamma$ of $W^{(K)}$ with the group $\Gamma = C \times \langle c\rangle$ with group structure $(\sigma, c^n)(\sigma', c^{n'}) = (\sigma c^n(\sigma'), c^{n+n'})$.

Proposition 39.7. *In the setup of 39.6 let E be a simple $\bar{\mathfrak{U}}[W^{(K)}\Gamma]$-module. Let $\gamma \in \Gamma$. There exists ζ, a root of 1 in $\bar{\mathfrak{U}}$, such that $\mathrm{tr}(w\gamma, E) \in \zeta\mathbf{Z}$ for any $w \in W^{(K)}$.*

From the theory of affine Weyl groups it is known that one of the following holds:

(i) Ω is cyclic and $c(\sigma) = \sigma$ for all $\sigma \in \Omega$.

(ii) Ω is cyclic and $c(\sigma) = \sigma^{-1}$ for all $\sigma \in \Omega$,

(iii) $\Omega \cong \mathbf{Z}/2 \times \mathbf{Z}/2$.

Moreover, $C \subset \Omega$ (compatibly with the action of c). In cases (i),(ii), C is cyclic and the assumptions of 39.5 are satisfied (with $W^{(K)}$ instead of W). Hence the result follows from 39.5.

In the remainder of the proof we assume that we are in case (iii). If C is cyclic then $c(\sigma) = \sigma = \sigma^{-1}$ for all $\sigma \in C$. The result follows again from 39.5. Hence we may assume that C is not cyclic so that $C = \Omega$. Let n be the order of $c : C \to C$. Then $n \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and n divides N. Note that $c^{N/n}$ is in the centre of Γ. Tensoring E by a suitable one dimensional representation (which is trivial on $W^{(K)}C$) we may assume that $c^{N/n}$ acts trivially on E hence E factors through the quotient of $W^{(K)}\Gamma$ by the subgroup generated by $c^{N/n}$. Hence we may assume that $N = n$. If $n = 1$ then $\Gamma \cong \mathbf{Z}/2 \times \mathbf{Z}/2$ is a group as in 39.5 and the result follows from 39.5.

If $n = 2$ then Γ is a dihedral group of order 8 which is again a group as in 39.5 (an extension of $\mathbf{Z}/2$ by $\mathbf{Z}/4$) and the result follows from 39.5. If $n = 3$ then W must be of type D_4, $W^{(K)}$ is an elementary abelian 2-group, E is one dimensional and there exists a homomorphism $\mu : W^{(K)}\Gamma \to \bar{\mathfrak{Q}}^*$ such that $\mathrm{tr}(w\gamma', E) = \mu(w\gamma')$ for all $w \in W^{(K)}, \gamma' \in \Gamma$. Then $\zeta = \mu(\gamma)$ is a root of 1. For any $w \in W^{(K)}$ we have $\mu(w\gamma) = \zeta\mu(w)$ and $\mu(w) = \pm 1$, since $w^2 = 1$. Thus, $\mathrm{tr}(w\gamma, E) = \pm\zeta$. The proposition is proved.

Corollary 39.8. *Assume that G, D, A, u are as in 35.22 and that $G^0/\mathcal{Z}_{G^0}$ is simple. Then $b^v_{A,u} \in \eta\mathbf{Q}$ for some η, a root of 1 (with $b^v_{A,u}$ as in 34.19.)*

This follows from 35.22 and 39.7.

References

[Al] D. Alvis, *The duality operation in the character ring of a finite Chevalley group*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **1** (1979), 907-911.

[BBD] A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, P. Deligne, *Faisceaux pervers*, Astérisque **100** (1982).

[Cu] C.W. Curtis, *Truncation and duality in the character ring of a finite group of Lie type*, J. Algebra **62** (1980), 320-332.

[De] P. Deligne, *La conjecture de Weil,II*, Publ.Math. I.H.E.S. **52** (1980), 137-252.

[Ka] N. Kawanaka, *Fourier transforms of nilpotently supported invariant functions on a simple Lie algebra over a finite field*, Invent.Math. **69** (1982), 411-435.

[L14] G. Lusztig, *Characters of reductive groups over a finite field, Ann. Math. Studies 107*, Princeton U.Press, 1984.

[L3] G. Lusztig, *Character sheaves,I*, Adv. Math. **56** (1985), 193-237; II **57** (1985), 226-265; III **57** (1985), 266-315; IV **59** (1986), 1-63; V **61** (1986), 103-155.

[L9] G. Lusztig, *Character sheaves on disconnected groups,I*, Represent. Th. (electronic) **7** (2003), 374-403; II **8** (2004), 72-124; III **8** (2004), 125-144; IV **8** (2004), 145-178; Errata **8** (2004), 179-179; V **8** (2004), 346-376; VI **8** (2004), 377-413; VII **9** (2005), 209-266.

[L10] G. Lusztig, *Parabolic character sheaves,I*, Moscow Math.J. **4** (2004), 153-179.

Department of Mathematics, M.I.T., Cambridge, MA 02139